

SmartGroups

SmartGroups Ad

imad@townends.co.uk

[Your Groups](#) [Your Details](#) [Events](#)[Logout](#)[Group](#) [Message](#) [Help](#)[List By Discussions](#) [List by Date](#) [Post Message](#) [Reply](#)

Political Thought & Analysis Forum

re: India and her economy[Prev](#) [Next](#)

Created by on 24 Jan 2001 12:03:42

Messages 11 to 20 of 23

Search for

Message

11 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:42:59 by **Yamin Zakaria** [Reply](#)

Salam All

I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I think is much closer to being a capitalist nation from its root. Afre all the development of the ideology was in western Europe and Germans made substantial contribution towards it. This it adopts capitalism with more conviction and vigour then say the Japanese.

Yamin Zakaria

--- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >

Salaams

>

> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e. nations
> who are following the capitalist model, but few are
> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and india
> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of
> capitalism, but they dont control its thought, or
> add
> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US are
> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply
> implement
> the solutions, but hold the thought that generates
> the
> solutions.

>

> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or Japan
> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an
> indicator of a nation which is ideological by
> nature,
> it has many think tanks, and policy forums which
> come
> up with the original solutions to new problems. I
> dont
> believe there are independent think tanks, espousing

> views and policies shaped by the capitalist thought
> in
> either of these countries. They rather have many
> forums to discuss ideas already being floated by
> other
> capitalist nations.
>
>
>
>
> --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;
> wrote:
> > Salaam,
> >
> > with regards to Point 2. From our understanding
> > that
> > an ideology shows it
> > face through 3 principle mechanisms, as follows:
> >
> > Propogate
> > Implement
> > Protect
> >
> > how do these 3 princples fit in with the countries
> > mentioned in Point 2.
> >
> > Thus any state must be based upon this for it to
> > be
> > classified as an
> > ideolgical state.
> >
> > Salaam
> >
> > Nasser
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Abu Hakim [mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com]
> > Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06
> > To: patf@smartgroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy
> >
> >
> > Couple points:
> >
> > 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to
> > adopt an ideology to be
> > powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and
> > Nazi Germany etc. India has
> > the potential to be powerful on the basis of
> > nationalism. We need to use the
> > term revival carefully - It means that nations
> > will
> > able to generate
> > solution to problems in all aspects of life
> > according to a specific thought
> > and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the
> > correct one. We should
> > not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation
> > can
> > progress on many fronts
> > without a ideology i.e be good at something. But
> > nahdah occurs on the bases
> > of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result
> > of adopting a creed the
> > society will progress. - Therefore India is not
> > revived according to our
> > definition.
> >
> >
> > 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are

> not
>> truly ideological - The
>> situation of German and Japan is that they do not
>> seek world leadership like
>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other
>> European nations like Spain
>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence
>> in the world is that a
>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
> type
>> of leadership is the
>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be
>> based on force and not
>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
>> defeatist mentality because of
>> the second world war - if they change their
>> mentality they will be powerful
>> again.
>>
>> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -
> it
>> did not just self
>> distract it was a result of a struggle between the
>> Capitalism and Communism.
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Salams
>>>
>>> Can i just make a few points.
>>>
>>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore
>> she
>>>> is not revived.
>>>
>>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not
>>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in
>> nation
>>> to be revived. There are many nations which
> became
>>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being
>>> ideological.
>>>
>>>> This
>>>> means that her economy is not developed upon
> any
>>>> firm ideological
>>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the
>> Ideology
>>>> of the people, the
>>>> political system of the nation, and the foreign
>>>> policy of the nation. All
>>>> of these are essential for a succesful economic
>>>> policy. As economics is not
>>>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it
> is
>>>> dependant upon politics,
>>>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the
> east
>>>> have shown this in the
>>>> reality. However, we said this well before,
>> because
>>>> the company understands
>>>> Nahdah.
>>>
>>> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only
>> have a

> > > strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological
> > > principals. Nations like US and UK are
> ideological
> > in
> > > their stance. They have powerful economies.
> Other
> > > nations like Japan and Germany are not
> ideological
> > in
> > > nature, (although they do practise the ideology
> of
> > > capitalism), and they too have very powerful
> > > economies.
> > >
> > >>
> > >> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of
> > >> lassaiz-faire economics are
> > >> backed by the belief in Freedom of their
> people,
> > and
> > >> their system being
> > >> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their
> > foreign
> > >> policy, complements their
> > >> economy, but is not comprised solely of economic
> > >> interests. It is dominated
> > >> by economic interests thus we call it
> Capitalism,
> > >> but political
> > >> considerations mean that they too abandon their
> > >> economic theory, when it is
> > >> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,
> > for
> > >> example, if the Europeans
> > >> ignored this principle (of sidelining economic
> > >> theory for political
> > >> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and
> > allow
>
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>

or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

12

Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 15:49:41 by **Abu Hakim** [Reply](#)

I don't think we disagree. My concern is that the use of the word revival, has specific meaning in our culture - India is not revived from our culture. We can say that on certain issues it has 'progressed' e.g. Economically.

Thanks

> Thanks,
>
> I just wanted to say its not right that India
> wouldnt advance simply because it wasnt revived on an
> ideological basis. I think in some previous mails
> Yamin mentioned of the fractitions (such a word?) in
> India, and i mentioned that the lowest form of bonding
> that India could muster is patriotism. It would move
> forward if it could form some sense of 'Identity' to
> unite the people on. In that sense it may revive
> somewhat.
> I agree with you on Progress. A nation may progress
> on many fronts, without being revived or fully

> revived. Sorry, maybe i read it wrong, but your mail
> implied indias economy or any nations economy would
> never succeed unless the nation was 'revived'. If you
> didnt say that, apologies.

>

>

>

>

> --- Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>; wrote:

>> Salam All

>>

>> This is correct. The underlying concept is refvival

>> or

>> progress of the nation will occur when there is

>> someform of unfication of the nation. The various

>> types of unifications (natinalism, Patriotism,

>> Interest and Ideological) are discussed in Nizam

>> (Chap

>> 3.0). With the exception of an Ideological bonds,

>> all

>> the other types of unfication are either temporary

>> (based on emotion), and/or limited to certain arena.

>> Hence a non ideological nation can display the

>> symptoms of a revived nation but it will be temorary

>> and/or limited to certain arena.

>>

>> Yamin Zakaria

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> --- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: >

>> Couple

>> points:

>>>

>>> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to

>>> adopt an ideology to be

>>> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and

>>> Nazi Germany etc. India has

>>> the potential to be powerful on the basis of

>>> nationalism. We need to use the

>>> term revival carefully - It means that nations

>> will

>>> able to generate

>>> solution to problems in all aspects of life

>>> according to a specific thought

>>> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the

>>> correct one. We should

>>> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation

>> can

>>> progress on many fronts

>>> without a ideology i.e be good at something. But

>>> nahdah occurs on the bases

>>> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result

>>> of adopting a creed the

>>> society will progress. - Therefore India is not

>>> revived according to our

>>> definition.

>>>

>>> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are

>> not

>>> truly ideological - The

>>> situation of German and Japan is that they do not

>>> seek world leadership like

>>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other

>>> European nations like Spain

>>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence

>>> in the world is that a

>>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
>> type
>>> of leadership is the
>>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be
>>> based on force and not
>>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
>>> defeatist mentality because of
>>> the second world war - if they change their
>>> mentality they will be powerful
>>> again.
>>>
>>> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -
>> it
>>> did not just self
>>> distract it was a result of a struggle between the
>>> Capitalism and Communism.
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Salams
>>>>
>>>> Can i just make a few points.
>>>>
>>>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore
>>> she
>>>>> is not revived.
>>>>>
>>>>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not
>>>>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in
>>>>> nation
>>>>> to be revived. There are many nations which
>>>>> became
>>>>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being
>>>>> ideological.
>>>>>
>>>>>> This
>>>>>> means that her economy is not developed upon
>>>>>> any
>>>>>> firm ideological
>>>>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the
>>>>>> Ideology
>>>>>> of the people, the
>>>>>> political system of the nation, and the foreign
>>>>>> policy of the nation. All
>>>>>> of these are essential for a succesful economic
>>>>>> policy. As economics is not
>>>>>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> dependant upon politics,
>>>>>>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the
>>>>>>> east
>>>>>>> have shown this in the
>>>>>>> reality. However, we said this well before,
>>>>>>> because
>>>>>>> the company understands
>>>>>>> Nahdah.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only
>>>>>>>> have a
>>>>>>>> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological
>>>>>>>> principals. Nations like US and UK are
>>>>>>>> ideological
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> their stance. They have powerful economies.
>>>>>>>>> Other
>>>>>>>>>> nations like Japan and Germany are not
>>>>>>>>>> ideological

>>> in
>>>> nature, (although they do practise the ideology
>> of
>>>> capitalism), and they too have very powerful
>>>> economies.
>>>>
>>>>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of
>>>>> lassaiz-faire economics are
>>>>> backed by the belief in Freedom of their
>> people,
>>> and
>>>>> their system being
>>>>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their
>>> foreign
>>>>> policy, complements their
>>>>> economy, but is not comprised solely of economic
>>>>> interests. It is dominated
>>>>> by economic interests thus we call it
>> Capitalism,
>>>>> but political
>>>>> considerations mean that they too abandon their
>>>>> economic theory, when it is
>>>>> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,
>>> for
>>>>> example, if the Europeans
>>>>> ignored this principle (of sidelining economic
>>>>> theory for political
>>>>> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and
>>> allow
>>>>> U.S. dominance over
>>>>> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign
>>> policy,
>>>>> a nation that believes
>>>>> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic
>>>>> policy stemming from that
>>>>> same source can be called successful. All
>> others
>>>>> are paper economies.
>>>>>
>>>> Not always, same points as above.
>>>>>
>>>> We say a nation will properly revive, in many
>>> areas of
>>>>> life if they embrace an ideology. They will
>> only
>>>>> truly revive if they embraced the Islamic
>>> ideology,
>>>>> because it is based on the correct understanding
>>> about
>>>>> the basic concept of life. Embracing other
>>> ideologies,
>>>>> or implementing other ideologies can lead to
>>> revival,
>>>>> but it can cause a nation to decline again, as
>> was
>>> the
>>>>> case with Russia, because the idea was so
>>> incorrect
>>>>> from the reality, the people no longer carried
>> it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Those nations who implement capitalism and are
>>>>> ideological in nature revive more than those who
>>>>> simply implement the ideology. In this respect
>> the
>>> US
>>>>> and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is my understanding, inshAllah.

>>>>
>>
> === message truncated ===
>
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.
> <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>
>
>
> --
> Leave Group: send blank mail to PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com
> Email Help: send blank mail to PATF-help@smartgroups.com
>
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>
>
>

13 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:53:42 by **AhmerF** [Reply](#)

About Germany and Japan being 'ideological', we need to define what we mean by the usage of this term

They are capitalist most certainly in terms of secularism being the basis of their society and capitalist system being applied in the nations (most evidently in the field of economics).

If then it comes down to an active propagation of ideology of capitalism internationally. Japan and Germany perhaps have not been at the forefront of this. We would identify the US most of all, UK and France as examples of this. So in this sense one may say they are not ideological.

Their political weakness in the aftermath of WW2 contributed to this.

But renewed Political strength may bring this to the fore. The US pre WW2 was not ideological in the sense of actively propagating the Capitalist cause worldwide. It moreover pursued an isolationist policy despite being secular and capitalist in its application of system internally.

German leadership of a united Europe and the political clout that brings may have an effect on this.

It was interesting also to read that Japanese politicians talk of re writing its pacifist constitution and pursue a more active foreign policy, with greater militarism and autonomy. Whether this translates into them emerging as a major political power and then also championing the capitalist thoughts worldwide remains to be seen and as of now it is far too early to speculate upon.

14 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:54:11 by **Yamin Zakaria** [Reply](#)

Salam All

Yes that's correct but I was referring to the underlying concept rather than simply focusing on the example of India.

The underlying concept is any form of unification will have a positive impact on a nation, be it progress or revival. The scope and duration of the progress or revival will very much depend on the type of unification. What has been the basis of it.

Yamin Zakaria

--- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: > I don't think we disagree. My concern is that the
> use of the word revival,
> has specific meaning in our culture - India is not
> revived from our culture.
> We can say that on certain issues it has
> 'progressed' e.g. Economically.
>
> Thanks
>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> I just wanted to say its not right that India
>> wouldnt advance simply because it wasnt revived on
> an
>> ideological basis. I think in some previous mails
>> Yamin mentioned of the fractitions (such a word?)
> in
>> India, and i mentioned that the lowest form of
> bonding
>> that India could muster is patriotism. It would
> move
>> forward if it could form some sense of 'Identity'
> to
>> unite the people on. In that sense it may revive
>> somewhat.
>> I agree with you on Progress. A nation may
> progress
>> on many fronts, without being revived or fully
>> revived. Sorry, maybe i read it wrong, but your
> mail
>> implied indias economy or any nations economy
> would
>> never succeed unless the nation was 'revived'. If
> you
>> didnt say that, apologies.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --- Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>; wrote:
>>> Salam All
>>>
>>> This is correct. The underlying concept is
> revival
>>> or
>>> progress of the nation will occur when there is
>>> someform of unification of the nation. The various
>>> types of unifications (natinalism, Patriotism,
>>> Interest and Ideological) are discussed in Nizam
>>> (Chap
>>> 3.0). With the exception of an Ideological bonds,
>>> all
>>> the other types of unification are either
> temporary
>>> (based on emotion), and/or limited to certain
> arena.
>>> Hence a non ideological nation can display the
>>> symptoms of a revived nation but it will be
> temorary
>>> and/or limited to certain arena.
>>>
>>> Yamin Zakaria
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: >

> >> Couple
> >> points:
> >>>
> >>> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to
> >>> adopt an ideology to be
> >>> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and
> >>> Nazi Germany etc. India has
> >>> the potential to be powerful on the basis of
> >>> nationalism. We need to use the
> >>> term revival carefully - It means that nations
> >> will
> >>> able to generate
> >>> solution to problems in all aspects of life
> >>> according to a specific thought
> >>> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is
> the
> >>> correct one. We should
> >>> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation
> >> can
> >>> progress on many fronts
> >>> without a ideology i.e be good at something. But
> >>> nahdah occurs on the bases
> >>> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a
> result
> >>> of adopting a creed the
> >>> society will progress. - Therefore India is not
> >>> revived according to our
> >>> definition.
> >>>
> >>> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are
> >> not
> >>> truly ideological - The
> >>> situation of German and Japan is that they do
> not
> >>> seek world leadership like
> >>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other
> >>> European nations like Spain
> >>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have
> influence
> >>> in the world is that a
> >>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
> >> type
> >>> of leadership is the
> >>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will
> be
> >>> based on force and not
> >>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
> >>> defeatist mentality because of
> >>> the second world war - if they change their
> >>> mentality they will be powerful
> >>> again.
> >>>
> >>> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -
> >> it
> >>> did not just self
> >>> distract it was a result of a struggle between
> the
> >>> Capitalism and Communism.
> >>>
> >>> Thanks
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Salams
> >>>>
> >>>> Can i just make a few points.
> >>>>
> >>>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore
> >>>> she

> >>>> is not revived.
> >>>>
> >>>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said
> not
> >>>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in
> >>> nation
> >>>> to be revived. There are many nations which
> >> became
> >>>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being
> >>>> ideological.
> >>>>
> >>>>> This
> >>>>> means that her economy is not developed upon
> >> any
> >>>>> firm ideological
> >>>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the
> >>> Ideology
> >>>>> of the people, the
> >>>>> political system of the nation, and the
> foreign
> >>>>> policy of the nation. All
> >>>>> of these are essential for a succesful
> economic
> >>>>> policy. As economics is not
> >>>>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it
> >> is
> >>>>> dependant upon politics,
> >>>>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the
> >> east
> >>>>> have shown this in the
> >>>>> reality. However, we said this well before,
> >>> because
> >>>>> the company understands
> >>>>> Nahdah.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only
> >>> have a
> >>>>> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological
> >>>>> principals. Nations like US and UK are
> >> ideological
> >>>> in
> >>>>> their stance. They have powerful economies.
> >> Other
> >>>>> nations like Japan and Germany are not
> >> ideological
> >>>> in
> >>>>> nature, (although they do practise the ideology
> >> of
> >>>>> capitalism), and they too have very powerful
> >>>>> economies.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of
> >>>>>> lassaiz-faire economics are
> >>>>>> backed by the belief in Freedom of their
> >> people,
> >>>> and
> >>>>>> their system being
> >>>>>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their
> >>> foreign
> >>>>>> policy, complements their
> >>>>>> economy, but is not comprised soley of
> economic
>
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?
Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>

or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

15

Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 15:59:26 by **Abu Hakim** [Reply](#)

W.R.T Germany - I think they do produce solutions from the basis - I think Germans have been quite creative in the way deal with the issue of Europe - It simply does not imitate France on issues it thinks for itself.

I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.
What is the evidence that the Japanese society does not hold on to the Ideas of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement solutions. -
Thanks

> Salam All

>

> I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not
> capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I think is
> much closer to being a capitalist nation from its
> root. Afre all the development of the ideology was in
> western Europe and Germans made substantial
> contribution towards it. This it adopts capitalism
> with more conviction and vigour then say the Japanese.

>

>

> Yamin Zakaria

>

>

>

> --- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >

> Salaams

>>

>> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e. nations
>> who are following the capitalist model, but few are
>> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and india
>> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of
>> capitalism, but they dont control its thought, or
>> add
>> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US are
>> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply
>> implement
>> the solutions, but hold the thought that generates
>> the
>> solutions.

>>

>> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or Japan
>> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an
>> indicator of a nation which is ideological by
>> nature,
>> it has many think tanks, and policy forums which
>> come
>> up with the original solutions to new problems. I
>> dont
>> believe there are independent think tanks, espousing
>> views and policies shaped by the capitalist thought
>> in
>> either of these countries. They rather have many
>> forums to discuss ideas already being floated by
>> other
>> capitalist nations.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;

>> wrote:

>>> Salaam,

>>>

>>> with regards to Point 2. From our understanding
>> that
>>> an ideology shows it
>>> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as follows:
>>>
>>> Propagate
>>> Implement
>>> Protect
>>>
>>> how do these 3 principles fit in with the countries
>>> mentioned in Point 2.
>>>
>>> Thus any state must be based upon this for it to
>> be
>>> classified as an
>>> ideological state.
>>>
>>> Salaam
>>>
>>> Nasser
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Abu Hakim [mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com]
>>> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06
>>> To: patf@smartgroups.com
>>> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy
>>>
>>>
>>> Couple points:
>>>
>>> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to
>>> adopt an ideology to be
>>> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and
>>> Nazi Germany etc. India has
>>> the potential to be powerful on the basis of
>>> nationalism. We need to use the
>>> term revival carefully - It means that nations
>> will
>>> able to generate
>>> solution to problems in all aspects of life
>>> according to a specific thought
>>> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the
>>> correct one. We should
>>> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation
>> can
>>> progress on many fronts
>>> without a ideology i.e be good at something. But
>>> nahdah occurs on the bases
>>> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result
>>> of adopting a creed the
>>> society will progress. - Therefore India is not
>>> revived according to our
>>> definition.
>>>
>>> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are
>> not
>>> truly ideological - The
>>> situation of German and Japan is that they do not
>>> seek world leadership like
>>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other
>>> European nations like Spain
>>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence
>>> in the world is that a
>>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
>> type
>>> of leadership is the
>>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be
>>> based on force and not
>>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have

>>> defeatist mentality because of
>>> the second world war - if they change their
>>> mentality they will be powerful
>>> again.
>>>
>>> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -
>> it
>>> did not just self
>>> distract it was a result of a struggle between the
>>> Capitalism and Communism.
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Salams
>>>>
>>>> Can i just make a few points.
>>>>
>>>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore
>>> she
>>>>> is not revived.
>>>>
>>>>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not
>>>>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in
>>> nation
>>>>> to be revived. There are many nations which
>> became
>>>>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being
>>>>> ideological.
>>>>>
>>>>>> This
>>>>>> means that her economy is not developed upon
>> any
>>>>>> firm ideological
>>>>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the
>>> Ideology
>>>>>> of the people, the
>>>>>> political system of the nation, and the foreign
>>>>>> policy of the nation. All
>>>>>> of these are essential for a succesful economic
>>>>>> policy. As economics is not
>>>>>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it
>> is
>>>>>> dependant upon politics,
>>>>>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the
>> east
>>>>>> have shown this in the
>>>>>> reality. However, we said this well before,
>>> because
>>>>>> the company understands
>>>>>> Nahdah.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only
>>> have a
>>>>>> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological
>>>>>> principals. Nations like US and UK are
>> ideological
>>> in
>>>>>> their stance. They have powerful economies.
>> Other
>>>>>> nations like Japan and Germany are not
>> ideological
>>> in
>>>>>> nature, (although they do practise the ideology
>> of
>>>>>> capitalism), and they too have very powerful
>>>>>> economies.
>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of
>>>>> lassaiz-faire economics are
>>>>> backed by the belief in Freedom of their
>> people,
>>> and
>>>>> their system being
>>>>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their
>>> foreign
>>>>> policy, complements their
>>>>> economy, but is not comprised solely of economic
>>>>> interests. It is dominated
>>>>> by economic interests thus we call it
>> Capitalism,
>>>>> but political
>>>>> considerations mean that they too abandon their
>>>>> economic theory, when it is
>>>>> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,
>>> for
>>>>> example, if the Europeans
>>>>> ignored this principle (of sidelining economic
>>>>> theory for political
>>>>> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and
>>> allow
>>
> === message truncated ===
>
>
>
>

> Do You Yahoo!?
> Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
> or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>
>
>
> --
> Leave Group: send blank mail to PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com
> Email Help: send blank mail to PATF-help@smartgroups.com
>
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>
>
>

16 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 17:07:13 by **Yamin Zakaria** [Reply](#)

Salam All,

The evidence for Japan is clear from its history. it has never been a capitalist nation prior to WWII. A stucnh nationalistic state with a fudal structre. After the war, it only adopted the economic aspect of capitalism.

There are very few nations if any outside Europe/US have adopted capitalism as an ideology. This is partly because capitalism has evolved as result of set of historical events connected to a particular society and its culture (namely christianity and w. europe), hence only those nations that participated in this process call for it outright with conviction. These nations identify capitalism as part of its history and culture, they feel they were the inheritors of this ideology.

Yamin Zakaria

--- Abu Hakim abuhakim1@hotmail.com; wrote: > W.R.T
Germany - I think they do produce solutions

> from the basis - I think
> Germans have been quite creative in the way deal
> with the issue of Europe -
> It simply does not imitate France on issues it
> thinks for itself.
>
> I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.
> What is the evidence that the Japanese society does
> not hold on to the Ideas
> of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement
> solutions. -
> Thanks
>
>
>> Salam All
>>
>> I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not
>> capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I think
> is
>> much closer to being a capitalist nation from its
>> root. Afre all the development of the ideology
> was in
>> western Europe and Germans made substantial
>> contribution towards it. This it adopts
> capitalism
>> with more conviction and vigour then say the
> Japanese.
>>
>>
>> Yamin Zakaria
>>
>>
>>
>> --- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >
>> Salaams
>>>
>>> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e.
> nations
>>> who are following the capitalist model, but few
> are
>>> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and
> india
>>> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of
>>> capitalism, but they dont control its thought, or
>>> add
>>> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US
> are
>>> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply
>>> implement
>>> the solutions, but hold the thought that
> generates
>>> the
>>> solutions.
>>>
>>> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or
> Japan
>>> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an
>>> indicator of a nation which is ideological by
>>> nature,
>>> it has many think tanks, and policy forums which
>>> come
>>> up with the original solutions to new problems. I
>>> dont
>>> believe there are independent think tanks,
> espousing
>>> views and policies shaped by the capitalist
> thought
>>> in
>>> either of these countries. They rather have many
>>> forums to discuss ideas already being floated by

> >> other
> >> capitalist nations.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;
> >> wrote:
> >>> Salaam,
> >>>
> >>> with regards to Point 2. From our understanding
> >> that
> >>> an ideology shows it
> >>> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as follows:
> >>>
> >>> Propagate
> >>> Implement
> >>> Protect
> >>>
> >>> how do these 3 principles fit in with the
> countries
> >>> mentioned in Point 2.
> >>>
> >>> Thus any state must be based upon this for it
> to
> >> be
> >>> classified as an
> >>> ideological state.
> >>>
> >>> Salaam
> >>>
> >>> Nasser
> >>>
> >>> -----Original Message-----
> >>> From: Abu Hakim [<mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com>]
> >>> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06
> >>> To: patf@smartgroups.com
> >>> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Couple points:
> >>>
> >>> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to
> >>> adopt an ideology to be
> >>> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and
> >>> Nazi Germany etc. India has
> >>> the potential to be powerful on the basis of
> >>> nationalism. We need to use the
> >>> term revival carefully - It means that nations
> >> will
> >>> able to generate
> >>> solution to problems in all aspects of life
> >>> according to a specific thought
> >>> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is
> the
> >>> correct one. We should
> >>> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation
> >> can
> >>> progress on many fronts
> >>> without a ideology i.e be good at something. But
> >>> nahdah occurs on the bases
> >>> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a
> result
> >>> of adopting a creed the
> >>> society will progress. - Therefore India is not
> >>> revived according to our
> >>> definition.
> >>>
> >>> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are

> >> not
> >>> truly ideological - The
> >>> situation of German and Japan is that they do
> not
> >>> seek world leadership like
> >>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other
> >>> European nations like Spain
> >>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have
> influence
> >>> in the world is that a
> >>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
> >> type
> >>> of leadership is the
> >>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will
> be
> >>> based on force and not
> >>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
> >>> defeatist mentality because of
> >>> the second world war - if they change their
> >>> mentality they will be powerful
> >>> again.
> >>>
> >>> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -
> >> it
> >>> did not just self
> >>> distract it was a result of a struggle between
> the
> >>> Capitalism and Communism.
> >>>
> >>> Thanks
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Salams
> >>>>
> >>>> Can i just make a few points.
> >>>>
> >>>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore
> >>> she
> >>>>> is not revived.
> >>>>
> >>>>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said
> not
> >>>>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in
> >>> nation
> >>>>> to be revived. There are many nations which
> >> became
> >>>>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being
> >>>>> ideological.
> >>>>
> >>>>> This
> >>>>> means that her economy is not developed upon
> >> any
> >>>>> firm ideological
> >>>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the
> >>> Ideology
> >>>>> of the people, the
> >>>>> political system of the nation, and the
> foreign
> >>>>> policy of the nation. All
>
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

17

Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 18:01:28 by [tanveer](#) [Reply](#)

Many nations possess the capability to be ideological nations, however whether they are in this matter of time is the question.

As Aamir mentioned, US before it came out of isolation, may have been considered non ideological, as they didnt carry the ideology in their foreign affairs. (although im not sure we can limit being ideological to just foriegn affairs).

Germany may have many ideas and thoughts by the fact that historically they have been part of the development of political thought in Europe, but they today do not carry the ideology. However it may be changing, since the Germans are now suggesting a more active role in the EU, a rethink of its position with arming itself, and being involved in external conflicts.

When this does happen, then we could call Germany an ideological nation. It doesnt take much for the Germans to revive.

I agree with Yamin on Japan. The original Japanese empire was not built on an ideological principles, and unlike the germans they have not been involved historically in the development of political thought.

--- Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>; wrote:

> Salam All,

>

> The evidence for Japan is clear from its history. it
> has never been a capitalist nation prior to WWII. A
> stucnh nationalistic state with a fudal structre.
> After the war, it only adopted the economic aspect
> of
> capitalism.

>

> There are very few nations if any outside Europe/US
> have adopted capitalism as an ideology. This is
> partly
> because capitalism has evolved as result of set of
> historical events connected to a particular society
> and its culture (namely christanity and w. europe),
> hence only those nations that participated in this
> process call for it outright with conviction. These
> nations identify capitalism as part of its history
> and
> culture, they feel they were the inheritors of this
> ideology.

>

> Yamin Zakaria

>

>

> --- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: > W.R.T

> Germany - I think they do produce solutions

> > from the basis - I think

> > Germans have been quite creative in the way deal

> > with the issue of Europe -

> > It simply does not imitate France on issues it

> > thinks for itself.

> >

> > I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.

> > What is the evidence that the Japanese society

> does
>> not hold on to the Ideas
>> of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement
>> solutions. -
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>> Salam All
>>>
>>> I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not
>>> capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I
> think
> is
>>> much closer to being a capitalist nation from
> its
>>> root. Aftre all the development of the ideology
>> was in
>>> western Europe and Germans made substantial
>>> contribution towards it. This it adopts
>> capitalism
>>> with more conviction and vigour then say the
>> Japanese.
>>>
>>>
>>> Yamin Zakaria
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >
>>> Salaams
>>>>
>>>> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e.
>> nations
>>>> who are following the capitalist model, but few
>> are
>>>> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and
>> india
>>>> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of
>>>> capitalism, but they dont control its thought,
> or
>>>> add
>>>> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US
>> are
>>>> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply
>>>> implement
>>>> the solutions, but hold the thought that
>> generates
>>>> the
>>>> solutions.
>>>>
>>>> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or
>> Japan
>>>> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an
>>>> indicator of a nation which is ideological by
>>>> nature,
>>>> it has many think tanks, and policy forums
> which
>>>> come
>>>> up with the original solutions to new problems.
> I
>>>> dont
>>>> believe there are independent think tanks,
>> espousing
>>>> views and policies shaped by the capitalist
>> thought
>>>> in
>>>> either of these countries. They rather have
> many
>>>> forums to discuss ideas already being floated
> by

> > > other
> > > capitalist nations.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;
> > > wrote:
> > >> Salaam,
> > >>
> > >> with regards to Point 2. From our
> understanding
> > > that
> > >> an ideology shows it
> > >> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as
> follows:
> > >>
> > >> Propogate
> > >> Implement
> > >> Protect
> > >>
> > >> how do these 3 principles fit in with the
> > countries
> > >> mentioned in Point 2.
> > >>
> > >> Thus any state must be based upon this for it
> > to
> > >> be
> > >> classified as an
> > >> ideological state.
> > >>
> > >> Salaam
> > >>
> > >> Nasser
> > >>
> > >> -----Original Message-----
> > >> From: Abu Hakim [mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com]
> > >> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06
> > >> To: patf@smartgroups.com
> > >> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Couple points:
> > >>
> > >> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs
> > to
> > >> adopt an ideology to be
> > >> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian
> > and
> > >> Nazi Germany etc. India has
> > >> the potential to be powerful on the basis of
> > >> nationalism. We need to use the
> > >> term revival carefully - It means that nations
> > >> will
> > >> able to generate
> > >> solution to problems in all aspects of life
> > >> according to a specific thought
> > >> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is
> > the
> > >> correct one. We should
> > >> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a
> > nation
> > >> can
> > >> progress on many fronts
> > >> without a ideology i.e be good at something.
> > But
> > >> nahdah occurs on the bases
> > >> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a
> > result

> > >> of adopting a creed the
> > >> society will progress. - Therefore India is
> not
> > >> revived according to our
> > >> definition.
> > >>
> > >> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan
> are
> > >> not
> > >> truly ideological - The
> > >> situation of German and Japan is that they do
> > not
> > >> seek world leadership like
> > >> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to
> other
> > >> European nations like Spain
> > >> - based on a Ideology. The key to have
> > influence
> > >> in the world is that a
> > >> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
> > >> type
> > >> of leadership is the
> > >> Ideological one - Other type of leadership
> will
> > be
> > >> based on force and not
> > >> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
>
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

18

Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 18:01:53 by [tanveer](#) [Reply](#)

Many nations possess the capability to be ideological nations, however whether they are in this matter of time is the question.

As Aamir mentioned, US before it came out of isolation, may have been considered non ideological, as they didnt carry the ideology in their foreign affairs. (although im not sure we can limit being ideological to just foriegn affairs).

Germany may have many ideas and thoughts by the fact that historically they have been part of the development of political thought in Europe, but they today do not carry the ideology. However it may be changing, since the Germans are now suggesting a more active role in the EU, a rethink of its position with arming itself, and being involved in external conflicts.

When this does happen, then we could call Germany an ideological nation. It doesnt take much for the Germans to revive.

I agree with Yamin on Japan. The original Japanese empire was not built on an ideological principles, and unlike the germans they have not been involved historically in the development of political thought.

--- Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>; wrote:

> Salam All,
>
> The evidence for Japan is clear from its history. it
> has never been a capitalist nation prior to WWII. A
> stucnh nationalistic state with a fudal structre.
> After the war, it only adopted the economic aspect
> of
> capitalism.
>
> There are very few nations if any outside Europe/US
> have adopted capitalism as an ideology. This is
> partly
> because capitalism has evolved as result of set of
> historical events connected to a particular society
> and its culture (namely christanity and w. europe),
> hence only those nations that participated in this
> process call for it outright with conviction. These
> nations identify capitalism as part of its history
> and
> culture, they feel they were the inheritors of this
> ideology.
>
> Yamin Zakaria
>
>
> --- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: > W.R.T
> Germany - I think they do produce solutions
>> from the basis - I think
>> Germans have been quite creative in the way deal
>> with the issue of Europe -
>> It simply does not imitate France on issues it
>> thinks for itself.
>>
>> I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.
>> What is the evidence that the Japanese society
> does
>> not hold on to the Ideas
>> of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement
>> solutions. -
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>> Salam All
>>>
>>> I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not
>>> capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I
> think
>> is
>>> much closer to being a capitalist nation from
> its
>>> root. Aftre all the development of the ideology
>> was in
>>> western Europe and Germans made substantial
>>> contribution towards it. This it adopts
>> capitalism
>>> with more conviction and vigour then say the
>> Japanese.
>>>
>>>
>>> Yamin Zakaria
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >
>>> Salaams
>>>>
>>>> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e.
>> nations
>>>> who are following the capitalist model, but few

> > are
> > > ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and
> > india
> > > are capitalist. They implement the solutions of
> > > capitalism, but they dont control its thought,
> or
> > > add
> > > to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US
> > are
> > > capitalist in their nature, they dont simply
> > > implement
> > > the solutions, but hold the thought that
> > generates
> > > the
> > > solutions.
> > >
> > > In this respect i dont believe that Germany or
> > Japan
> > > hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an
> > > indicator of a nation which is ideological by
> > > nature,
> > > it has many think tanks, and policy forums
> which
> > > come
> > > up with the original solutions to new problems.
> I
> > > dont
> > > believe there are independent think tanks,
> > espousing
> > > views and policies shaped by the capitalist
> > thought
> > > in
> > > either of these countries. They rather have
> many
> > > forums to discuss ideas already being floated
> by
> > > other
> > > capitalist nations.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;
> > > wrote:
> > >> Salaam,
> > >>
> > >> with regards to Point 2. From our
> understanding
> > > that
> > >> an ideology shows it
> > >> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as
> follows:
> > >>
> > >> Propogate
> > >> Implement
> > >> Protect
> > >>
> > >> how do these 3 principles fit in with the
> > countries
> > >> mentioned in Point 2.
> > >>
> > >> Thus any state must be based upon this for it
> > to
> > > be
> > >> classified as an
> > >> ideological state.
> > >>
> > >> Salaam
> > >>
> > >> Nasser

> > >>
> > >> -----Original Message-----
> > >> From: Abu Hakim [<mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com>]
> > >> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06
> > >> To: patf@smartgroups.com
> > >> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Couple points:
> > >>
> > >> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs
> to
> > >> adopt an ideology to be
> > >> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian
> and
> > >> Nazi Germany etc. India has
> > >> the potential to be powerful on the basis of
> > >> nationalism. We need to use the
> > >> term revival carefully - It means that nations
> > >> will
> > >> able to generate
> > >> solution to problems in all aspects of life
> > >> according to a specific thought
> > >> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is
> > the
> > >> correct one. We should
> > >> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a
> nation
> > >> can
> > >> progress on many fronts
> > >> without a ideology i.e be good at something.
> But
> > >> nahdah occurs on the bases
> > >> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a
> > result
> > >> of adopting a creed the
> > >> society will progress. - Therefore India is
> not
> > >> revived according to our
> > >> definition.
> > >>
> > >> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan
> are
> > >> not
> > >> truly ideological - The
> > >> situation of German and Japan is that they do
> > not
> > >> seek world leadership like
> > >> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to
> other
> > >> European nations like Spain
> > >> - based on a Ideology. The key to have
> > influence
> > >> in the world is that a
> > >> nation must have the desire to lead - The best
> > >> type
> > >> of leadership is the
> > >> Ideological one - Other type of leadership
> will
> > be
> > >> based on force and not
> > >> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have
>
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

19 **Date Posted:** 31 Jan 2001 10:03:05 by **Shazad** [Reply](#)

Asalamalaikum

You mentioned the following point

"We need to use the term revival carefully - It means that nations will be able to generate solutions to problems in all aspects of life according to a specific thought and method."

"But nahdah occurs on the basis of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result of adopting a creed the society will progress. - Therefore India is not revived according to our definition."

Is it not possible to argue that the peoples in India, have accepted Capitalism completely as their ideology, which is accommodated by a firm adoption of secularism as a comprehensive creed. Hence this is the viewpoint which directs them in solving their societal (whether they be social or economic in nature) problems. As a result of which they are rewarded with material progress? (In which case India is a revived nation)

In contrast to western capitalist nations, like the belief in Hinduism/Islam in India, it can be seen as no different to those who believe in Christianity, which yields no influence beyond an individual's personal affairs. Thus their thoughts (whether they be solutions or just ideas) coupled by methods are from capitalism.

>

Get Your Private, Free E-mail from MSN Hotmail at <http://www.hotmail.com>.

20 **Date Posted:** 31 Jan 2001 12:28:18 by «**No Name Set**» [Reply](#)

Assalaamu alaykum

although I am not the one who wrote the quotes you mentioned (they were actually written to politely counter an analysis that I put forward) I do agree with that aspect of the author's article, namely India does not have "Nahdah".

We need to consider certain points.

A nation with "Nahdah" has successfully managed to unify all its factions upon one fixed principle (mabda) which acts as the basis of all of life's affairs. This unification eliminates the various factions that exist in society, and renders any disagreements as merely "ikhtilaf". Thus, a nation with "nahdah" cannot be used as a puppet, but rather has her own will, determined by her ideology and world view that emanates from that. Thus, she will attempt to implement, propagate and protect her ideology.

India, is actually a society with many many factions, not agreed upon the basis of running the state's affairs, and is thus used by the super powers as a tool for their interests. Such as the British (historically) using India, or the Americans maneuvering the BJP into power. Thus, she doesn't have her own ideological will.

Moreover, even though your point about Hinduism being a religious belief and thus being akin to Christianity in the West is correct in principle; the adoption of Capitalism in India is for most politicians and for the masses an imitation, not a conviction. It is used to get to power and to run the country for benefit. Also, the Hindus are different to the Christians in the West, the Christians do not bring Christianity into the Mu'amalaat, and thus are secular, and agree to freedom of religion, so do not coerce the apostate (from Christianity) nor do they implement Christianity's many moral codes for the mu'amalaat (such as do not commit Zinaa). They only use

Secularism in the Mu'amalaat. The hindus do not bvelieve in Freedom of religion, and thus are still declined, and they insist upon bringing Hinduism into the Mu'amalaat.

If india was revived she would be treated at a threat and a potential competitor to the West, but she is not, rather she is used.

Wassalaamu alaykum

abu Ammaar

>From: "shazad mohammed" <shaz_d21@hotmail.com>;

>Reply-To: patf@smartgroups.com

>To: patf@smartgroups.com

>Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy

>Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 08:19:26 -0000

>

>Asalamalaikum

>

>You mentioned the following point

>

>"We need to use the term revival carefully - It means that nations will

>able

>to generate solution to problems in all aspects of life according to a

>specific thought and method."

>

>"But nahdah occurs on the bases of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a

>result of adopting a creed the society will progress. - Therefore India is

>not revived according to our definition."

>

>Is it not possible to argue that the peoples in India, have accepted

>Capitalism completely as their ideology, which is accommodated by a firm

>adoption of secularism as a comprehensive creed. Hence this is the view

>point which directs them in solving their societal (whether they be social

>or economic in nature) problems. As a result of which they are rewarded

>with

>material progress? (In which case India is a revived nation)

>

>In contrast to western capitalist nations, like the belief in

>hinduism/Islam

>in india, it can be seen as no different to those who believe in

>Christianity, which yields no influence beyond an individuals personnel

>affairs. Thus Their thoughts (whether they be solutions or just

>ideas)coupled by methods are from capitalism.

>

>>

>

>Get Your Private, Free E-mail from MSN Hotmail at <http://www.hotmail.com>.

>

>

>

>--

>Leave Group: send blank mail to PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com

>Email Help: send blank mail to PATF-help@smartgroups.com

>

><http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>

>

Get Your Private, Free E-mail from MSN Hotmail at <http://www.hotmail.com>.

Powered by Freeserve

[Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Find Group](#) | [Create Group](#) | [Feedback](#) | [Terms](#) | [About](#)
