

SmartGroups

SmartGroups Ad

imad@townends.co.uk

[Your Groups](#) [Your Details](#) [Events](#)[Logout](#)[Group](#) [Message](#) [Help](#)[List By Discussions](#) [List by Date](#) [Post Message](#) [Reply](#)

## Political Thought & Analysis Forum

**re: India and her economy**[Prev](#) [Next](#)

Created by on 24 Jan 2001 12:03:42

**Messages 1 to 10 of 23**

Search for

### Message

**1** **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 12:03:42 by «**No Name Set**» [Reply](#)

Assalaamu alaykum all

Iv'e been busy of late, but intend to try and contribute a bit more now, a point about Inida, and I wish to later on, in another mail, add to the discussion about puppets and agents.

Political concepts:

India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore she is not revived. This means that her economy is not developed upon any firm ideological principles, ie: it is not infused with the Ideology of the people, the political system of the nation, and the foreign policy of the nation. All of these are essential for a succesful economic policy. As economics is not a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is dependant upon politics, and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east have shown this in the reality. However, we said this well before, because the company understands Nahdah.

The kuffar realise this, and their policies of lassaiz-faire economics are backed by the belief in Freedom of their people, and their system being based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign policy, complements their economy, but is not comprised soley of economic interests. It is dominated by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism, but poitical considerations mean that they too abandon their economic theory, when it is politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for example, if the Europeans ignored this principle (of sidleining economic theory for political interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and allow U.S. dominance over them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign policy, a nation that believes in the principles of the Ideology, and economic policy stemming from that same source can be called successful. All others are paper economies.

The third world, and the Muslims world, have been duped into thinking that they can succeed by developing economically first and then politically and then that will shape their Ideology. This is taught throughout the universities of the West and mimicked by the third world economists who come to study here. It is a deliberate ploy of the colonising nations to insist only upon the economic development of third world nations, BEFORE, their political development (the quote of S. Huntington is a case in point). If their theories of Comparitive advantage and speacialisation are studied

it can be seen that they insist upon our economies specialising in one or two things (usually crops, tourism and the like) whilst they specialise in the heavy industry. This may make economic sense in terms of efficiency, but it is POLITICAL SUICIDE to allow another nation to supply you your Jets and tanks and other hardware. And as stated, in a clash between political and economic interests they prioritise politics, but so should we!

Now for India:

Based upon the above, it is therefore inconcievable that India's economy is built upon anything firm or stable. By definition, they are not revived, there is no Nahdah. So, it is a paper economy, buit upon the likes of Bollywood and I.T. The kuffar make a fuss about it, not because she is an economic successs, but because she is an opening market of 1 billion consumers ready to drink Pepsi and eat McDonalds. In short, she is a source of consumers ready to consume from the suppliers, the West. It is in this light that they are happy about her "educated labour force", (they all speak English very well), because alot of the big companies are shifting their customer service centres to India, due to the abundance of English speakers and cheap labour. It came in a report that despite the international call charges (which the company would pay) it still ended up cheper to do this.

As for the well developed I.T sector, I.T. is not a "heavy industry", it is an industry which is built to maintain and make mmore effiecient existing heavy industries. I.T. cannot build an economy, it makes more efficient an existing economy. So India, with it's masses of over-qualified I.T. specialists is like Pakistan (lots of knowledge but no infra-structre), so all are trying to go to the U.S. to build her economy for her. India only produces some software, which is run upon the machines in the west which are used to run real industry more effieciently. Even if she produces computers, computers alone, without heavy industry to act as the backbone, will end up being used to play Street fighter 9.

So in short, I don't think that India's economy is an "economic success", as was hinted in the previous mail (forgive me if that was not what was meant - but even if it wasn't meant the point is India's economy is not a success - do we agree?), and we should reject S. Huntingtons analysis because it is a colonial tool to subjugate the third world economies. India's economy may look like a success, but it is a paper economy, and even though she may be military developing quite fast, she doesn't have Nahdah, so there is no way that she can develop any success (even military - remember the Uthmani Khilafah?) until she establishes for herself a basis (mabda - ideology) which will act as a pivot to guide all her policies in one unison way. Until then she will continue to be used by Ideological nations for their regional plans which emantae from their consistent principle (mabda - ideology).

"The strength of a nation is in it's thoughts" (The Economic System - Shaykh Taqi ud-Din an-Nabhani (rh.a.))

Wassalaamu alaykum

abu Ammaar

>From: Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>  
>Reply-To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
>To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
>Subject: Re: [PATF] CIA report highlighting the future role of India  
>Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:58:33 +0000 (GMT)  
>  
>Salam All  
>  
>Yes based on the current trends that looks to be the  
>way things are shaping up. Certainly india has a  
>expanding economy with a vast educated labour force.  
>Provinding her with a strong industry and large armed  
>forces. As Samuel Huningdon has mention, Economic

>success of a nation will transfer to Political and  
>Military confidence. India seems a prime example of  
>such a case. However we must not forget she also has  
>deep problems and there are questions about her  
>strength as regional power.

>  
>a) She has to contend with deep division within her  
>own society which caused much of the social problems.  
>The differences exist in terms of various religions  
>(Islam, Hindu, Christian etc), castes and tribal or  
>ethnic conflicts with secessionist movements (Sikhs,  
>Kashmir, Nagaland, Mizoland, Assam).

>  
>b) There are also linguistic and cultural divide  
>between the north (Hindi based) and the huge  
>population of the south (Tamil based). There are  
>potential for conflicts due to historical animosity,  
>and the actions in Sri Lanka often brought this to the  
>surface.

>  
>c) She also has to contain multiple political problems  
>with her neighbours such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri  
>Lanka, Nepal and China.

>  
>d) To date she does not have any industries where she  
>is providing any form of leadership. In these areas  
>India is still very much a third world nation.

>  
>e) India also has a substantial amount of its population  
>below poverty line. There are serious doubts even a  
>flourishing capitalist economy will provide any kind of  
>solution to these economic problems.

>  
>In addition there are other problems within the Indian  
>society, the secular rule has failed to unify the  
>Indian population with a real sense of identity.

>  
>There are also questions about her ascension as a  
>regional power. It is possible that the US encouraging  
>this there are significant improvements in US-China  
>ties recently. There is also mention of her acquiring  
>a seat in the security council along with Japan and  
>Germany.

>This may be directed at the possible containment of  
>China, particularly in the Economic arena.  
>It could also be possible allies against the future  
>Islamic state in Pakistan/Afghanistan/Central Asian  
>Republics. US is already weary of the growing Islamic  
>tide in these regions and thus strong anti US  
>sentiments.

>  
>Yamin Zakaria

>  
>  
>  
>  
>--- [majad@rocketmail.com](mailto:majad@rocketmail.com) wrote: > Yesterday the CIA  
>chose to make public its "Global  
>> Trends 2015" report in which advice was given to the  
>> US administration on the future shape of the  
>> subcontinent.

>>  
>> The report warns of the greatest possibilities of a  
>> Nuclear war occurring in the subcontinent between  
>> India and Pakistan as both are heavily involved in a  
>> Nuclear and conventional arms race, advice which is  
>> very much against the grain of recent peace moves by  
>> the Musharraf regime.

>>  
>> The CIA highlights that "India will be the unrivalled  
>> regional power with a large military including naval

> > and nuclear capabilities and a dynamic and growing  
> > economy..."  
> > It goes on to draw more positives for India "India  
> > will continue to build up it's ocean going navy to  
> > dominate the Indian Ocean trade routes used for  
> > delivery of Persian Gulf oil to Asia..."

> >  
> > Especially highlighted is the continued economic  
> > growth in India, both in regulatory reforms and  
> > success of high-technology companies, and the  
> > continued decline and fragmentation of Pakistan as  
> > an entity sliding towards extremism and economic  
> > decline.

> >  
> > The report makes clear reading on where the current  
> > American administration should put it's money and  
> > shape it's policy accordingly with the winner.

> >  
> > We could well see further disenchantment with  
> > Pakistan and greater support for India from the Bush  
> > administration in the coming years.

> >  
> > What will this mean for the secular-elite of PK ?  
> > will PK be another Bangladesh, subdued by the  
> > greater regional power India ? or will PK be facing  
> > sanctions for harbouring terrorist cells and forced  
> > into more US pressure to concede not just on the  
> > issue of Kashmir but elsewhere as well ?

> >  
> > Majad

> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >

> > --  
> > Leave Group: send blank mail to  
> > [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> > Email Help: send blank mail to  
> > [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
> >  
> > <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>

> >  
>  
>  
>

---

>Do You Yahoo!?  
>Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>  
>or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

>  
>  
>--  
>Leave Group: send blank mail to [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
>Email Help: send blank mail to [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
>  
><http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
>

---

Get Your Private, Free E-mail from MSN Hotmail at <http://www.hotmail.com>.

2 Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 13:13:18 by **AhmerF** [Reply](#)

I think the point about India needs to be placed in a certain context. (relative to other non regional powers presently/and vies a via competing against an Ideological Khilafah State.)

Regionally in comparison to Pakistan, Bangladesh and other Asian nations she is seen as an Economic giant. There is a huge market to exploit and major investment opportunities for western nations (provided the Indians do away with their bureaucracy)

Growth rates have been running at about 8-10% for the last decade or so. Which is seen as a good indicator (by contemporary economists). her economy dwarfs Pakistanis by nearly 6 times.

Aside from its economic advantages, politically India cannot be ignored. She is a nuclear power and vies ambitiously for Great power status (hence seat UN Sec Council).

Engagement with India by the US offers immense economic opportunities and potential Political ones. A counterweight to China and and the rise of 'Islamic fundamentalism' in C Asia and Pak/Afghan.....

However as Maajids/Yamins mails point out, in absolute terms there potentially major problems which an ideological state, Khilafah can seek to exploit within India. And hence her present economic-political prowess should not be overstated by kept in perspective.

Ethnic/Sectarian divisions remain deeply embedded.

Whilst major separatists movements (aside from Kashmir) have not been seen as major forces recently (in terms of break up), there presence in the background persists. These can always be activated by a forward looking Islamic State. (rather like Suleiman Qanuni exploited divisions in the European principalities during the height of Ottoman power)

Assam, Khalistan - Sikhs, Tamils in the South etc  
1000 different languages  
A caste system which dehumanises people

are all issues which can be exploited so that cracks appear in Indian society..

Some of their perceived strengths can be turned to weaknesses....A high GDP has not necessarily translated into reduced poverty. indeed whilst growth has been hitting 10%, the poverty gap between rich and poor has been sidelining. I read a Statistic indicating that poverty had increased by a greater percentage than growth...

A billion plus population increasingly becoming Economically disenfranchised will be a potential time bomb in the future.

Much of India's recent Political, Diplomatic and Economic success when judged comparatively really shows Pakistan's ineptitude on many fronts.

Especially diplomatically

India has a Hindu Fundamentalist govt which condones Mosque demolitions, oppression of minorities (Christian churches attacked etc) yet they do not get that bad a press.....rather the fundamentalist threat is talked of in Pakistan

Kargil was no different to India's aggressive Kashmir incursions in early 1980s on Siachen - yet Pakistan came off as the bad guys....

India's brutal suppression of Kashmir's is akin to Serb activity in Bosnia yet Pakistan is incapable of gaining mileage from this.....

An ideological Islamic State in the region armed with skilled Politicians/Diplomats

should really capitalise on these chinks in the Indian body politic.

All the more need for seasoned politicians from us and amongst the Ummah.

3 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 14:17:59 by **tanveer** [Reply](#)

Salams

Can i just make a few points.

> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore she  
> is not revived.

We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in nation to be revived. There are many nations which became revived, albeit temporarily, without being ideological.

> This  
> means that her economy is not developed upon any  
> firm ideological  
> principles, ie: it is not infused with the Ideology  
> of the people, the  
> political system of the nation, and the foreign  
> policy of the nation. All  
> of these are essential for a succesful economic  
> policy. As economics is not  
> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is  
> dependant upon politics,  
> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east  
> have shown this in the  
> reality. However, we said this well before, because  
> the company understands  
> Nahdah.

Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only have a strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological in their stance. They have powerful economies. Other nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological in nature, (although they do practise the ideology of capitalism), and they too have very powerful economies.

>  
> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
> lassaiz-faire economics are  
> backed by the belief in Freedom of their people, and  
> their system being  
> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign  
> policy, complements their  
> economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
> interests. It is dominated  
> by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
> but poitical  
> considerations mean that they too abandon their  
> economic theory, when it is  
> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for  
> example, if the Europeans  
> ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
> theory for political  
> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and allow  
> U.S. dominance over  
> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign policy,  
> a nation that believes  
> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
> policy stemming from that  
> same source can be called successful. All others

> are paper economies.

Not always, same points as above.

We say a nation will properly revive, in many areas of life if they embrace an ideology. They will only truly revive if they embraced the Islamic ideology, because it is based on the correct understanding about the basic concept of life. Embracing other ideologies, or implementing other ideologies can lead to revival, but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was the case with Russia, because the idea was so incorrect from the reality, the people no longer carried it.

Those nations who implement capitalism and are ideological in nature revive more than those who simply implement the ideology. In this respect the US and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.

This is my understanding, inshAllah.

---

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

4 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 14:22:33 by **tanveer** [Reply](#)

typo...

We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in NATURE to be revived. There are many nations which became revived, albeit temporarily, without being ideological

--- ansaar ansaar <[ansaar99@yahoo.com](mailto:ansaar99@yahoo.com)>; wrote:

> Salams

>

> Can i just make a few points.

>

> > India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore she

> > is not revived.

>

> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not

> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in

> nation

> to be revived. There are many nations which became

> revived, albeit temporarily, without being

> ideological.

>

> > This

> > means that her economy is not developed upon any

> > firm ideological

> > principles, ie: it is not infused with the

> Ideology

> > of the people, the

> > political system of the nation, and the foreign

> > policy of the nation. All

> > of these are essential for a successful economic

> > policy. As economics is not

> > a science that stands upon its own, rather it is

> > dependant upon politics,

> > and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east

> > have shown this in the  
> > reality. However, we said this well before,  
> because  
> > the company understands  
> > Nahdah.  
>  
> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only have  
> a  
> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological  
> principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological  
> in  
> their stance. They have powerful economies. Other  
> nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological  
> in  
> nature, (although they do practise the ideology of  
> capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
> economies.  
>  
> >  
> > The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
> > lassaiz-faire economics are  
> > backed by the belief in Freedom of their people,  
> and  
> > their system being  
> > based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign  
> > policy, complements their  
> > economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
> > interests. It is dominated  
> > by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
> > but poitical  
> > considerations mean that they too abandon their  
> > economic theory, when it is  
> > politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for  
> > example, if the Europeans  
> > ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
> > theory for political  
> > interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and  
> allow  
> > U.S. dominance over  
> > them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign  
> policy,  
> > a nation that believes  
> > in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
> > policy stemming from that  
> > same source can be called successful. All others  
> > are paper economies.  
>  
> Not always, same points as above.  
>  
> We say a nation will properly revive, in many areas  
> of  
> life if they emrbrace an ideology. They will only  
> truly revive if they embraced the islamic ideology,  
> because it is based on the correct understanding  
> about  
> the basic concept of life. Embracing other  
> ideologies,  
> or implementing other ideologies can lead to  
> revival,  
> but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was  
> the  
> case with Russia, because the idea was so incorrect  
> from the reality, the people no longer carried it.  
>  
> Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> simply implement the ideology. In this respect the  
> US  
> and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.  
>

> This is my understanding, inshAllah.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  

---

> Do You Yahoo!?  
> Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great  
> prices.  
> <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Leave Group: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> Email Help: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
>  
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
>

---

Do You Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.  
<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

5 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 14:55:41 by **Yamin Zakaria** [Reply](#)

Salam All

Yes this is absolutely correct, too often people have fixed and rigid ideas about certain concepts without understanding its context or reality. Almost like reading from a text book.

Yamin Zakaria

--- ansaar ansaar <[ansaar99@yahoo.com](mailto:ansaar99@yahoo.com)>; wrote: > Salams

>  
> Can i just make a few points.  
>  
> > India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore she  
> > is not revived.  
>  
> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not  
> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in  
> nation  
> to be revived. There are many nations which became  
> revived, albeit temporarily, without being  
> ideological.  
>  
> > This  
> > means that her ecomony is not developed upon any  
> > firm ideological  
> > principles, ie: it is not infused with the  
> Ideology  
> > of the people, the  
> > political system of the nation, and the foreign  
> > policy of the nation. All  
> > of these are essential for a succesful economic  
> > policy. As economics is not  
> > a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is  
> > dependant upon politics,

> > and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east  
> > have shown this in the  
> > reality. However, we said this well before,  
> because  
> > the company understands  
> > Nahdah.  
>  
> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only have  
> a  
> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological  
> principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological  
> in  
> their stance. They have powerful economies. Other  
> nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological  
> in  
> nature, (although they do practise the ideology of  
> capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
> economies.  
>  
> >  
> > The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
> > lassaiz-faire economics are  
> > backed by the belief in Freedom of their people,  
> and  
> > their system being  
> > based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign  
> > policy, complements their  
> > economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
> > interests. It is dominated  
> > by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
> > but poitical  
> > considerations mean that they too abandon their  
> > economic theory, when it is  
> > politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for  
> > example, if the Europeans  
> > ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
> > theory for political  
> > interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and  
> allow  
> > U.S. dominance over  
> > them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign  
> policy,  
> > a nation that believes  
> > in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
> > policy stemming from that  
> > same source can be called successful. All others  
> > are paper economies.  
>  
> Not always, same points as above.  
>  
> We say a nation will properly revive, in many areas  
> of  
> life if they emrbrace an ideology. They will only  
> truly revive if they embraced the islamic ideology,  
> because it is based on the correct understanding  
> about  
> the basic concept of life. Embracing other  
> ideologies,  
> or implementing other ideologies can lead to  
> revival,  
> but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was  
> the  
> case with Russia, because the idea was so incorrect  
> from the reality, the people no longer carried it.  
>  
> Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> simply implement the ideology. In this respect the  
> US  
> and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.

>  
> This is my understanding, inshAllah.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  

---

> Do You Yahoo!?  
> Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great  
> prices.  
> <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Leave Group: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> Email Help: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
>  
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
>

---

Do You Yahoo!?  
Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>  
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

6 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:05:32 by **Abu Hakim** [Reply](#)

Couple points:

1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to adopt an ideology to be powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and Nazi Germany etc. India has the potential to be powerful on the basis of nationalism. We need to use the term revival carefully - It means that nations will be able to generate solution to problems in all aspects of life according to a specific thought and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the correct one. We should not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation can progress on many fronts without a ideology i.e be good at something. But nahdah occurs on the bases of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result of adopting a creed the society will progress. - Therefore India is not revived according to our definition.

2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are not truly ideological - The situation of German and Japan is that they do not seek world leadership like the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other European nations like Spain - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence in the world is that a nation must have the desire to lead - The best type of leadership is the Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be based on force and not concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have defeatist mentality because of the second world war - if they change their mentality they will be powerful again.

3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets - it did not just self distract it was a result of a struggle between the Capitalism and Communism.

Thanks

> Salams  
>  
> Can i just make a few points.  
>  
>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore she

>> is not revived.  
>  
> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not  
> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in nation  
> to be revived. There are many nations which became  
> revived, albeit temporarily, without being  
> ideological.  
>  
>> This  
>> means that her economy is not developed upon any  
>> firm ideological  
>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the Ideology  
>> of the people, the  
>> political system of the nation, and the foreign  
>> policy of the nation. All  
>> of these are essential for a succesful economic  
>> policy. As economics is not  
>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is  
>> dependant upon politics,  
>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east  
>> have shown this in the  
>> reality. However, we said this well before, because  
>> the company understands  
>> Nahdah.  
>  
> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only have a  
> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological  
> principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological in  
> their stance. They have powerful economies. Other  
> nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological in  
> nature, (although they do practise the ideology of  
> capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
> economies.  
>  
>>  
>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
>> lassaiz-faire economics are  
>> backed by the belief in Freedom of their people, and  
>> their system being  
>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign  
>> policy, complements their  
>> economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
>> interests. It is dominated  
>> by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
>> but poitical  
>> considerations mean that they too abandon their  
>> economic theory, when it is  
>> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for  
>> example, if the Europeans  
>> ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
>> theory for political  
>> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and allow  
>> U.S. dominance over  
>> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign policy,  
>> a nation that believes  
>> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
>> policy stemming from that  
>> same source can be called successful. All others  
>> are paper economies.  
>  
> Not always, same points as above.  
>  
> We say a nation will properly revive, in many areas of  
> life if they embrace an ideology. They will only  
> truly revive if they embraced the islamic ideology,  
> because it is based on the correct understanding about  
> the basic concept of life. Embracing other ideologies,  
> or implementing other ideologies can lead to revival,  
> but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was the  
> case with Russia, because the idea was so incorrect

> from the reality, the people no longer carried it.  
>  
> Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> simply implement the ideology. In this respect the US  
> and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.  
>  
> This is my understanding, inshAllah.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  

---

> Do You Yahoo!?  
> Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.  
> <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Leave Group: send blank mail to [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> Email Help: send blank mail to [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
>  
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
>  
>

7 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:20:21 by **Yamin Zakaria** [Reply](#)

Salam All

This is correct. The underlying concept is revival or progress of the nation will occur when there is some form of unification of the nation. The various types of unifications (nationalism, Patriotism, Interest and Ideological) are discussed in Nizam (Chap 3.0). With the exception of an Ideological bonds, all the other types of unification are either temporary (based on emotion), and/or limited to certain arena. Hence a non ideological nation can display the symptoms of a revived nation but it will be temporary and/or limited to certain arena.

Yamin Zakaria

--- Abu Hakim [abuhakim1@hotmail.com](mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com); wrote: > Couple points:

>  
> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to  
> adopt an ideology to be  
> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and  
> Nazi Germany etc. India has  
> the potential to be powerful on the basis of  
> nationalism. We need to use the  
> term revival carefully - It means that nations will  
> be able to generate  
> solutions to problems in all aspects of life  
> according to a specific thought  
> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the  
> correct one. We should  
> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation can  
> progress on many fronts  
> without an ideology i.e. be good at something. But  
> nahdah occurs on the basis  
> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result

> of adopting a creed the  
> society will progress. - Therefore India is not  
> revived according to our  
> definition.  
>  
> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are not  
> truly ideological - The  
> situation of German and Japan is that they do not  
> seek world leadership like  
> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other  
> European nations like Spain  
> - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence  
> in the world is that a  
> nation must have the desire to lead - The best type  
> of leadership is the  
> Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be  
> based on force and not  
> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have  
> defeatist mentality because of  
> the second world war - if they change their  
> mentality they will be powerful  
> again.  
>  
> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets - it  
> did not just self  
> distract it was a result of a struggle between the  
> Capitalism and Communism.  
>  
> Thanks  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> > Salams  
> >  
> > Can i just make a few points.  
> >  
> >> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore  
> she  
> >> is not revived.  
> >  
> > We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not  
> > necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in  
> nation  
> > to be revived. There are many nations which became  
> > revived, albeit temporarily, without being  
> > ideological.  
> >  
> >> This  
> >> means that her economy is not developed upon any  
> >> firm ideological  
> >> principles, ie: it is not infused with the  
> Ideology  
> >> of the people, the  
> >> political system of the nation, and the foreign  
> >> policy of the nation. All  
> >> of these are essential for a succesful economic  
> >> policy. As economics is not  
> >> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is  
> >> dependant upon politics,  
> >> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east  
> >> have shown this in the  
> >> reality. However, we said this well before,  
> because  
> >> the company understands  
> >> Nahdah.  
> >  
> > Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only  
> have a  
> > strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological

> > principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological  
> in  
> > their stance. They have powerful economies. Other  
> > nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological  
> in  
> > nature, (although they do practise the ideology of  
> > capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
> > economies.  
> >  
> >>  
> >> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
> >> lassaiz-faire economics are  
> >> backed by the belief in Freedom of their people,  
> and  
> >> their system being  
> >> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their  
> foreign  
> >> policy, complements their  
> >> economy, but is not comprised solely of economic  
> >> interests. It is dominated  
> >> by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
> >> but political  
> >> considerations mean that they too abandon their  
> >> economic theory, when it is  
> >> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,  
> for  
> >> example, if the Europeans  
> >> ignored this principle (of sidelining economic  
> >> theory for political  
> >> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and  
> allow  
> >> U.S. dominance over  
> >> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign  
> policy,  
> >> a nation that believes  
> >> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
> >> policy stemming from that  
> >> same source can be called successful. All others  
> >> are paper economies.  
> >  
> > Not always, same points as above.  
> >  
> > We say a nation will properly revive, in many  
> areas of  
> > life if they embrace an ideology. They will only  
> > truly revive if they embraced the Islamic  
> ideology,  
> > because it is based on the correct understanding  
> about  
> > the basic concept of life. Embracing other  
> ideologies,  
> > or implementing other ideologies can lead to  
> revival,  
> > but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was  
> the  
> > case with Russia, because the idea was so  
> incorrect  
> > from the reality, the people no longer carried it.  
> >  
> > Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> > ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> > simply implement the ideology. In this respect the  
> US  
> > and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.  
> >  
> > This is my understanding, inshAllah.  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >

> >  
> > Do You Yahoo!?  
> > Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great  
> prices.  
> > <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>  
> >  
> >  
> > --  
> > Leave Group: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> > Email Help: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
> >  
> > <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > --  
> > Leave Group: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> > Email Help: send blank mail to  
> [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
> >  
> > <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>  
> >

---

Do You Yahoo!?  
Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>  
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

8 **Date Posted:** 24 Jan 2001 15:24:30 by **Emaan Valaa** [Reply](#)

Salaam,

with regards to Point 2. From our understanding that an ideology shows it face through 3 principle mechanisms, as follows:

Propogate  
Implement  
Protect

how do these 3 princples fit in with the countries mentioned in Point 2.

Thus any state must be based upon this for it to be classified as an ideolical state.

Salaam

Nasser

-----Original Message-----

From: Abu Hakim [<mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com>]

Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06

To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)

Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy

Couple points:

1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to adopt an ideology to be powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and Nazi Germany etc. India has the potential to be powerful on the basis of nationalism. We need to use the

term revival carefully - It means that nations will be able to generate a solution to problems in all aspects of life according to a specific thought and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the correct one. We should not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation can progress on many fronts without an ideology i.e. be good at something. But Nahdah occurs on the basis of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result of adopting a creed the society will progress. - Therefore India is not revived according to our definition.

2 - I am not convinced that Germany and Japan are not truly ideological - The situation of Germany and Japan is that they do not seek world leadership like the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other European nations like Spain - based on an ideology. The key to have influence in the world is that a nation must have the desire to lead - The best type of leadership is the ideological one - Other type of leadership will be based on force and not concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have a defeatist mentality because of the second world war - if they change their mentality they will be powerful again.

3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets - it did not just self-destruct it was a result of a struggle between Capitalism and Communism.

Thanks

> Salams

>

> Can I just make a few points.

>

>> India is not an ideological nation. Therefore she is not revived.

>

> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not necessarily a nation needs to be ideological in order to be revived. There are many nations which became revived, albeit temporarily, without being ideological.

>

>> This

>> means that her economy is not developed upon any firm ideological principles, i.e. it is not infused with the ideology of the people, the political system of the nation, and the foreign policy of the nation. All of these are essential for a successful economic policy. As economics is not a science that stands upon its own, rather it is dependant upon politics, and ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east have shown this in the reality. However, we said this well before, because the company understands Nahdah.

>

> Again this doesn't mean that a nation will only have a strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological principles. Nations like the US and UK are ideological in their stance. They have powerful economies. Other nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological in nature, (although they do practise the ideology of capitalism), and they too have very powerful economies.

>

>>

>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of laissez-faire economics are backed by the belief in freedom of their people, and

>> their system being  
>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their foreign  
>> policy, complements their  
>> economy, but is not comprised solely of economic  
>> interests. It is dominated  
>> by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
>> but political  
>> considerations mean that they too abandon their  
>> economic theory, when it is  
>> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So, for  
>> example, if the Europeans  
>> ignored this principle (of sidelining economic  
>> theory for political  
>> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and allow  
>> U.S. dominance over  
>> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign policy,  
>> a nation that believes  
>> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
>> policy stemming from that  
>> same source can be called successful. All others  
>> are paper economies.

>  
> Not always, same points as above.

>  
> We say a nation will properly revive, in many areas of  
> life if they embrace an ideology. They will only  
> truly revive if they embraced the Islamic ideology,  
> because it is based on the correct understanding about  
> the basic concept of life. Embracing other ideologies,  
> or implementing other ideologies can lead to revival,  
> but it can cause a nation to decline again, as was the  
> case with Russia, because the idea was so incorrect  
> from the reality, the people no longer carried it.

>  
> Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> simply implement the ideology. In this respect the US  
> and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.

>  
> This is my understanding, inshAllah.

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

> Do You Yahoo!?  
> Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.  
> <http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

>  
>  
> --  
> Leave Group: send blank mail to [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
> Email Help: send blank mail to [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)  
>  
> <http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>

>  
>  
  
--  
Leave Group: send blank mail to [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)  
Email Help: send blank mail to [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)

<http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>

9 Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 15:30:09 by [tanveer](#) [Reply](#)

Thanks,

I just wanted to say its not right that India wouldnt advance simply because it wasnt revived on an ideological basis. I think in some previous mails Yamin mentioned of the fractitions (such a word?) in India, and i mentioned that the lowest form of bonding that India could muster is patriotism. It would move forward if it could form some sense of 'Identity' to unite the people on. In that sense it may revive somewhat.

I agree with you on Progress. A nation may progress on many fronts, without being revived or fully revived. Sorry, maybe i read it wrong, but your mail implied indias economy or any nations economy would never succeed unless the nation was 'revived'. If you didnt say that, apologies.

--- Yamin Zakaria <[yaminz@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:yaminz@yahoo.co.uk)>; wrote:

> Salam All

>

> This is correct. The underlying concept is refvival

> or

> progress of the nation will occur when there is

> someform of unification of the nation. The various

> types of unifications (natinialism, Patriotism,

> Interest and Ideological) are discussed in Nizam

> (Chap

> 3.0). With the exception of an Ideological bonds,

> all

> the other types of unification are either temporary

> (based on emotion), and/or limited to certain arena.

> Hence a non ideological nation can display the

> symptoms of a revived nation but it will be temorary

> and/or limited to certain arena.

>

> Yamin Zakaria

>

>

>

>

>

> --- Abu Hakim <[abuhakim1@hotmail.com](mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com)>; wrote: >

> Couple

> points:

> >

> > 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to

> > adopt an ideology to be

> > powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and

> > Nazi Germany etc. India has

> > the potential to be powerful on the basis of

> > nationalism. We need to use the

> > term revival carefully - It means that nations

> > will

> > able to generate

> > solution to problems in all aspects of life

> > according to a specific thought

> > and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the

> > correct one. We should

> > not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation

> > can

> > progress on many fronts

> > without a ideology i.e be good at something. But

> > nahdah occurs on the bases

> > of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result  
> > of adopting a creed the  
> > society will progress. - Therefore India is not  
> > revived according to our  
> > definition.  
> >  
> > 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan are  
> > not  
> > truly ideological - The  
> > situation of German and Japan is that they do not  
> > seek world leadership like  
> > the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other  
> > European nations like Spain  
> > - based on a Ideology. The key to have influence  
> > in the world is that a  
> > nation must have the desire to lead - The best  
> > type  
> > of leadership is the  
> > Ideological one - Other type of leadership will be  
> > based on force and not  
> > concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have  
> > defeatist mentality because of  
> > the second world war - if they change their  
> > mentality they will be powerful  
> > again.  
> >  
> > 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets -  
> > it  
> > did not just self  
> > distract it was a result of a struggle between the  
> > Capitalism and Communism.  
> >  
> > Thanks  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > > Salams  
> > >  
> > > Can i just make a few points.  
> > >  
> > >> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore  
> > she  
> > >> is not revived.  
> > >  
> > > We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not  
> > > necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in  
> > > nation  
> > > to be revived. There are many nations which  
> > > became  
> > > revived, albeit temporarily, without being  
> > > ideological.  
> > >  
> > >> This  
> > >> means that her economy is not developed upon  
> > any  
> > >> firm ideological  
> > >> principles, ie: it is not infused with the  
> > Ideology  
> > >> of the people, the  
> > >> political system of the nation, and the foreign  
> > >> policy of the nation. All  
> > >> of these are essential for a succesful economic  
> > >> policy. As economics is not  
> > >> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it  
> > is  
> > >> dependant upon politics,  
> > >> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the  
> > east  
> > >> have shown this in the

> > > reality. However, we said this well before,  
> > because  
> > > the company understands  
> > > Nahdah.  
> > >  
> > > Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only  
> > have a  
> > > strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological  
> > > principals. Nations like US and UK are  
> ideological  
> > in  
> > > their stance. They have powerful economies.  
> Other  
> > > nations like Japan and Germany are not  
> ideological  
> > > in  
> > > nature, (although they do practise the ideology  
> of  
> > > capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
> > > economies.  
> > >  
> > > >  
> > > > The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
> > > > lassaiz-faire economics are  
> > > > backed by the belief in Freedom of their  
> people,  
> > and  
> > > > their system being  
> > > > based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their  
> > foreign  
> > > > policy, complements their  
> > > > economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
> > > > interests. It is dominated  
> > > > by economic interests thus we call it  
> Capitalism,  
> > > > but poitical  
> > > > considerations mean that they too abandon their  
> > > > economic theory, when it is  
> > > > politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,  
> > for  
> > > > example, if the Europeans  
> > > > ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
> > > > theory for political  
> > > > interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and  
> > allow  
> > > > U.S. dominance over  
> > > > them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign  
> > policy,  
> > > > a nation that believes  
> > > > in the principles of the Ideology, and economic  
> > > > policy stemming from that  
> > > > same source can be called successful. All  
> others  
> > > > are paper economies.  
> > >  
> > > Not always, same points as above.  
> > >  
> > > We say a nation will properly revive, in many  
> > areas of  
> > > > life if they emrbrace an ideology. They will  
> only  
> > > > truly revive if they embraced the islamic  
> > ideology,  
> > > > because it is based on the correct understanding  
> > about  
> > > > the basic concept of life. Embracing other  
> > ideologies,  
> > > > or implementing other ideologies can lead to  
> > revival,  
> > > > but it can cause a nation to decline again, as

> was  
> > the  
> > > case with Russia, because the idea was so  
> > incorrect  
> > > from the reality, the people no longer carried  
> it.  
> > >  
> > > Those nations who implement capitalism and are  
> > > ideological in nature revive more than those who  
> > > simply implement the ideology. In this respect  
> the  
> > US  
> > > and UK are more revived than Germany and Japan.  
> > >  
> > > This is my understanding, inshAllah.  
> > >  
>  
=== message truncated ===

---

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.  
<http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

10

Date Posted: 24 Jan 2001 15:37:26 by [tanveer](#) [Reply](#)

---

Salaams

There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e. nations who are following the capitalist model, but few are ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and india are capitalist. They implement the solutions of capitalism, but they dont control its thought, or add to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US are capitalist in their nature, they dont simply implement the solutions, but hold the thought that generates the solutions.

In this respect i dont believe that Germany or Japan hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an indicator of a nation which is ideological by nature, it has many think tanks, and policy forums which come up with the original solutions to new problems. I dont believe there are independent think tanks, espousing views and policies shaped by the capitalist thought in either of these countries. They rather have many forums to discuss ideas already being floated by other capitalist nations.

--- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>; wrote:

> Salaam,  
>  
> with regards to Point 2. From our understanding that  
> an ideology shows it  
> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as follows:  
>  
> Propogate  
> Implement  
> Protect  
>  
> how do these 3 principles fit in with the countries  
> mentioned in Point 2.  
>  
> Thus any state must be based upon this for it to be

> classified as an  
> ideological state.  
>  
> Salaam  
>  
> Nasser  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Abu Hakim [<mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com>]  
> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06  
> To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy  
>  
>  
> Couple points:  
>  
> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs to  
> adopt an ideology to be  
> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian and  
> Nazi Germany etc. India has  
> the potential to be powerful on the basis of  
> nationalism. We need to use the  
> term revival carefully - It means that nations will  
> be able to generate  
> solutions to problems in all aspects of life  
> according to a specific thought  
> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is the  
> correct one. We should  
> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a nation can  
> progress on many fronts  
> without an ideology i.e. be good at something. But  
> nahdah occurs on the basis  
> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a result  
> of adopting a creed the  
> society will progress. - Therefore India is not  
> revived according to our  
> definition.  
>  
> 2 - I am not convinced that Germany and Japan are not  
> truly ideological - The  
> situation of Germany and Japan is that they do not  
> seek world leadership like  
> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to other  
> European nations like Spain  
> - based on an ideology. The key to have influence  
> in the world is that a  
> nation must have the desire to lead - The best type  
> of leadership is the  
> ideological one - Other types of leadership will be  
> based on force and not  
> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have  
> a defeatist mentality because of  
> the second world war - if they change their  
> mentality they will be powerful  
> again.  
>  
> 3 - Point regarding the decline of the Soviets - it  
> did not just self  
> destruct it was a result of a struggle between the  
> Capitalism and Communism.  
>  
> Thanks  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> > Salams  
> >  
> > Can I just make a few points.

>>  
>>> India is not an Ideological nation. Therefore  
> she  
>>> is not revived.  
>>  
>> We mentioned that in previous mails, we said not  
>> necessarily a nation needs to be Ideological in  
> nation  
>> to be revived. There are many nations which became  
>> revived, albeit temporarily, without being  
>> ideological.  
>>  
>>> This  
>>> means that her economy is not developed upon any  
>>> firm ideological  
>>> principles, ie: it is not infused with the  
> Ideology  
>>> of the people, the  
>>> political system of the nation, and the foreign  
>>> policy of the nation. All  
>>> of these are essential for a succesful economic  
>>> policy. As economics is not  
>>> a science that stands upon it's own, rather it is  
>>> dependant upon politics,  
>>> and Ideology. The "tiger economies" of the east  
>>> have shown this in the  
>>> reality. However, we said this well before,  
> because  
>>> the company understands  
>>> Nahdah.  
>>  
>> Again this doesnt mean that a nation will only  
> have a  
>> strong economy if it sticks to firm ideological  
>> principals. Nations like US and UK are ideological  
> in  
>> their stance. They have powerful economies. Other  
>> nations like Japan and Germany are not ideological  
> in  
>> nature, (although they do practise the ideology of  
>> capitalism), and they too have very powerful  
>> economies.  
>>  
>>>  
>>> The kuffar realise this, and their policies of  
>>> lassaiz-faire economics are  
>>> backed by the belief in Freedom of their people,  
> and  
>>> their system being  
>>> based upon this Freedom/Secularism. Their  
> foreign  
>>> policy, complements their  
>>> economy, but is not comprised soley of economic  
>>> interests. It is dominated  
>>> by economic interests thus we call it Capitalism,  
>>> but poitical  
>>> considerations mean that they too abandon their  
>>> economic theory, when it is  
>>> politically necessary, ie: CAP in Europe. So,  
> for  
>>> example, if the Europeans  
>>> ignored this principle (of sidleining economic  
>>> theory for political  
>>> interests such as in CAP) they would fail, and  
> allow  
>>> U.S. dominance over  
>>> them. Thus, only those with a clear foreign  
> policy,  
>>> a nation that believes  
>>> in the principles of the Ideology, and economic

