

SmartGroups

SmartGroups Ad

imad@townends.co.uk

[Your Groups](#) [Your Details](#) [Events](#)[Logout](#)[Group](#) [Message](#) [Help](#)[List By Discussions](#) [List by Date](#) [Post Message](#) [Reply](#)

## Political Thought & Analysis Forum

### Some points about judging revival from political events

[Prev](#) [Next](#)

Created by yahya95 on 26 Jan 2001 15:43:41

Messages 1 to 3 of 3

Search for

#### Message

1 **Date Posted:** 26 Jan 2001 15:43:41 by **yahya95** [Reply](#)

As Salamu alaikum,

There is a misconception here that progress and revival are the same. As has been stated earlier, revival is dependent upon adopting an ideology.

Furthermore, simply because a state is not successful (progressing) in a particular field does not imply that they are no longer revived or ideological. Belgium, Holland, Spain, Portugal are all revived capitalist nations which are only a fraction of their former selves in regard to foreign affairs. Some have weak internal economies etc. etc. but they still refer to the ideology that they have adopted and that is capitalism. We cannot judge the thought of a people by the results of their policies but only by the thought itself. The international political and economic situation today is very aggressive and involves many plans to prop-up or destroy others' economies, support, strength etc. So what looks like progress could be simply a temporary trend resulting from a more powerful nation's policy. Hence the Eastern Tiger economies grew while they were allowed to grow. France, Belgium, Portugal etc.s colonial power has been diminished by US and UK plans. There are many examples of states working against each other. So every success in the economy, or military does not indicate revival, nor even is it necessarily a progress resulting from a comprehensive thought, as it could be supported by another power. So each progression or failure needs to be looked into accurately with its own details and circumstances.

The only thing that can determine the thought of a nation is the study of the thought itself, and this is our concern when evaluating the revival or otherwise of a nation. For instance, if we defeat the US in a war or over its foreign policy interests (as happens often today) does then the US automatically become a declined nation? No, absolutely not, as their success or failure has nothing to do with revival.

Simply, if they adopt an ideology, then they are likely to progress in their systems of life, but this progression is not guaranteed due to many other factors related to competitors. Hence, they can still be a nation experiencing a revival but with many set-backs.

Finally, Americas isolation was not a submission to others in terms of foreign policy, it was simply a focus on the Americas and not the rest of the world. Germany is very much involved in securing its interests in the world, yet it does not meddle in every peace process in the world, but then it doesn't have to. Germany has enormous pressures from US who has made huge investments in its economy. Also, Germany favours going along with others in its foreign affairs rather than striding out on its own. But this is due to

its enormous weakness resulting from a non-military based economy. None of this indicates the absence of an ideology from the thought of the people, as these are not considered when evaluating the thought. Rather, speaking to germans, listening to their speeches, reading thier papers, journals and literature are the means for determining the thought of the Germans, which will then determine whether they adopt an ideology.

And this has nothing to do with their success in the international arena.

Wa Salam

Yahya

>From: ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>;

>Reply-To: patf@smartgroups.com

>To: patf@smartgroups.com

>Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy

>Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:00:22 -0800 (PST)

>

> Many nations possess the capability to be ideological nations, however whether they are in this matter of time is the question.

>As Aamir mentioned, US before it came out of isolation, may have been considered non ideological, as they didnt carry the ideology in their foreign affairs. (although im not sure we can limit being ideological to just foriegn affairs).

>

>Germany may have many ideas and thoughts by the fact that historically they have been part of the development of political thought in Europe, but they today do not carry the ideology. However it may be changing, since the Germans are now suggesting a more active role in the EU, a rethink of its position with arming itself, and being involved in external conflicts.

>

>When this does happen, then we could call Germany an ideological nation. It doesnt take much for the Germans to revive.

>

>I agree with Yamin on Japan. The original Japanese empire was not built on an ideological principles, and unlike the germans they have not been involved historically in the development of political thought.

>

>

>

>--- Yamin Zakaria <yaminz@yahoo.co.uk>; wrote:

> > Salam All,

> >

> > The evidence for Japan is clear from its history. it has never been a capitalist nation prior to WWII. A stucnh nationalistic state with a fudal structre. After the war, it only adopted the economic aspect of capitalism.

> >

> > There are very few nations if any outside Europe/US have adopted capitalism as an ideology. This is partly because capitalism has evolved as result of set of historical events connected to a particular society and its culture (namely christanity and w. europe), hence only those nations that participated in this process call for it outright with conviction. These nations identify capitalism as part of its history and

> > culture, they feel they were the inheritors of this  
> > ideology.  
> >  
> > Yamin Zakaria  
> >  
> >  
> > --- Abu Hakim <abuhakim1@hotmail.com>; wrote: > W.R.T  
> > Germany - I think they do produce solutions  
> > > from the basis - I think  
> > > Germans have been quite creative in the way deal  
> > > with the issue of Europe -  
> > > It simply does not imitate France on issues it  
> > > thinks for itself.  
> > >  
> > > I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.  
> > > What is the evidence that the Japanese society  
> > > does  
> > > not hold on to the Ideas  
> > > of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement  
> > > solutions. -  
> > > Thanks  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > Salam All  
> > >  
> > > I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not  
> > > > capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I  
> > think  
> > > is  
> > > > much closer to being a capitalist nation from  
> > its  
> > > > root. Aftr all the development of the ideology  
> > > was in  
> > > > western Europe and Germans made substantial  
> > > > contribution towards it. This it adopts  
> > > capitalism  
> > > > with more conviction and vigour then say the  
> > > Japanese.  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > Yamin Zakaria  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > --- ansaar ansaar <ansaar99@yahoo.com>; wrote: >  
> > > Salaams  
> > >  
> > >> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e.  
> > > nations  
> > >> who are following the capitalist model, but few  
> > > are  
> > >> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and  
> > > india  
> > >> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of  
> > >> capitalism, but they dont control its thought,  
> > or  
> > >> add  
> > >> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US  
> > > are  
> > >> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply  
> > >> implement  
> > >> the solutions, but hold the thought that  
> > > generates  
> > >> the  
> > >> solutions.  
> > >>  
> > >>> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or  
> > > Japan  
> > >>> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an

> > > > indicator of a nation which is ideological by  
> > > > nature,  
> > > > it has many think tanks, and policy forums  
> > which  
> > > > come  
> > > > up with the original solutions to new problems.  
> > I  
> > > > dont  
> > > > believe there are independent think tanks,  
> > > espousing  
> > > > views and policies shaped by the capitalist  
> > > thought  
> > > > in  
> > > > either of these countries. They rather have  
> > many  
> > > > forums to discuss ideas already being floated  
> > by  
> > > > other  
> > > > capitalist nations.  
> > > >  
> > > >  
> > > >  
> > > > --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;  
> > > > wrote:  
> > > >> Salaam,  
> > > >>  
> > > >> with regards to Point 2. From our  
> > understanding  
> > > > that  
> > > >> an ideology shows it  
> > > >> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as  
> > follows:  
> > > >>  
> > > >> Propogate  
> > > >> Implement  
> > > >> Protect  
> > > >>  
> > > >> how do these 3 princples fit in with the  
> > > countries  
> > > >> mentioned in Point 2.  
> > > >>  
> > > >> Thus any state must be based upon this for it  
> > > to  
> > > >> be  
> > > >> classified as an  
> > > >> ideolgical state.  
> > > >>  
> > > >> Salaam  
> > > >>  
> > > >> Nasser  
> > > >>  
> > > >> -----Original Message-----  
> > > >> From: Abu Hakim [mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com]  
> > > >> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06  
> > > >> To: patf@smartgroups.com  
> > > >> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy  
> > > >>  
> > > >>  
> > > >> Couple points:  
> > > >>  
> > > >> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs  
> > > to  
> > > >> adopt an ideology to be  
> > > >> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian  
> > and  
> > > >> Nazi Germany etc. India has  
> > > >> the potential to be powerful on the basis of  
> > > >> nationalism. We need to use the

> > > >> term revival carefully - It means that nations  
> > > >> will  
> > > >> able to generate  
> > > >> solution to problems in all aspects of life  
> > > >> according to a specific thought  
> > > >> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is  
> > > the  
> > > >> correct one. We should  
> > > >> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a  
> > nation  
> > > >> can  
> > > >> progress on many fronts  
> > > >> without a ideology i.e be good at something.  
> > But  
> > > >> nahdah occurs on the bases  
> > > >> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a  
> > > result  
> > > >> of adopting a creed the  
> > > >> society will progress. - Therefore India is  
> > not  
> > > >> revived according to our  
> > > >> definition.  
> > > >>  
> > > >> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan  
> > are  
> > > >> not  
> > > >> truly ideological - The  
> > > >> situation of German and Japan is that they do  
> > > not  
> > > >> seek world leadership like  
> > > >> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to  
> > other  
> > > >> European nations like Spain  
> > > >> - based on a Ideology. The key to have  
> > > influence  
> > > >> in the world is that a  
> > > >> nation must have the desire to lead - The best  
> > > >> type  
> > > >> of leadership is the  
> > > >> Ideological one - Other type of leadership  
> > will  
> > > be  
> > > >> based on force and not  
> > > >> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have  
> >  
>=== message truncated ===

---

>Do You Yahoo!?

>Yahoo! Auctions - Buy the things you want at great prices.

><http://auctions.yahoo.com/>

>

>

>--

>Leave Group: send blank mail to [PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-unsubscribe@smartgroups.com)

>Email Help: send blank mail to [PATF-help@smartgroups.com](mailto:PATF-help@smartgroups.com)

>

><http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/PATF>

>

---

Get Your Private, Free E-mail from MSN Hotmail at <http://www.hotmail.com>.

2 Date Posted: 26 Jan 2001 20:55:39 by «No Name Set» [Reply](#)

It was about this basis that I tried to argue that the economic success without a political creed being adopted in India is a "paper success", as by definition it implies adopting certain economic policies without attempting to unify the nation upon the basis of these policies. This will not remove the various competing factions, and thus by definition will not create a consensus, and thus these countries' competing factions will still be used by external powers against one another. The nature of their bond, whether it be Patriotic, nationalistic, spiritual, or Benefit, is temporary and will not halt the conflict in that society, rather it will exacerbate the conflict and make it easier for the Ideological nations to use that conflict to steer in their client. Thus it can be said that any apparent success is due to the support of an Ideological nation, for political reasons, and for as long as that Ideological nation wants that nation to progress. Only an Ideological bond will bring about a permanent progression, as it will bring an end to the instability and the factions that get used, and will not tolerate intervention from an external power, also it will bring progress in all fields. Without this, the progress is temporary and pioneered for political reasons.

As for Germany's people, they are bonded upon the Secular Thought and Method. So are Swedens etc... thus regardless of their relative weaker position on the world scene, they have revival. They are not merely bonded upon Patriotism or Nationalism or benefit or Spiritual bond, so what is their bond? It can only be the Secular thought and method. Thus, they live by their Ideology in all aspects of their life.

I too agree that the U.S. was never in complete isolation, rather it was focusing on making it's "back door" namely, the South American continent. And it succeeded in doing that to a very devastating level. Then it timed it's emergence into Europe.

Wassalaamu alaykum

abu Ammaar

>From: "yahya abduallah" <[yahya95@hotmail.com](mailto:yahya95@hotmail.com)>;

>Reply-To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)

>To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)

>Subject: Some points about judging revival from political events

>Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:28:18

>

>As Salamu alaikum,

>

>There is a misconception here that progress and revival are the same. As

>has

>been stated earlier, revival is dependent upon adopting an ideology.

>Furthermore, simply because a state is not successful (progressing) in a

>particular field does not imply that they are no longer revived or

>ideological. Belgium, Holland, Spain, Portugal are all revived capitalist

>nations which are only a fraction of their former selves in regard to

>foreign affairs. Some have weak internal economies etc. etc. but they still

>refer to the ideology that they have adopted and that is capitalism.

>We cannot judge the thought of a people by the results of their policies

>but

>only by the thought itself. The international political and economic

>situation today is very aggressive and involves many plans to prop-up or

>destroy others' economies, support, strength etc. So what looks like

>progress could be simply a temporary trend resulting from a more powerful

>nation's policy. Hence the Eastern Tiger economies grew while they were

>allowed to grow. France, Belgium, Portugal etc.'s colonial power has been

>diminished by US and UK plans. There are many examples of states working

>against each other. So every success in the economy, or military does not

>indicate revival, nor even is it necessarily a progress resulting from a

>comprehensive thought, as it could be supported by another power. So each

>progression or failure needs to be looked into accurately with its own

>details and circumstances.

>The only thing that can determine the thought of a nation is the study of  
>the thought itself, and this is our concern when evaluating the revival or  
>otherwise of a nation. For instance, if we defeat the US in a war or over  
>its foreign policy interests (as happens often today) does then the US  
>automatically become a declined nation? No, absolutely not, as their  
>success  
>or failure has nothing to do with revival.  
>Simply, if they adopt an ideology, then they are likely to progress in  
>their  
>systems of life, but this progression is not guaranteed due to many other  
>factors related to competitors. Hence, they can still be a nation  
>experiencing a revival but with many set-backs.  
>Finally, Americas isolation was not a submission to others in terms of  
>foreign policy, it was simply a focus on the Americas and not the rest of  
>the world. Germany is very much involved in securing its interests in the  
>world, yet it does not meddle in every peace process in the world, but then  
>it doesn't have to. Germany has enormous pressures from US who has made  
>huge  
>investments in its economy. Also, Germany favours going along with others  
>in  
>its foreign affairs rather than striding out on its own. But this is due to  
>its enormous weakness resulting from a non-military based economy. None of  
>this indicates the absence of an ideology from the thought of the people,  
>as  
>these are not considered when evaluating the thought. Rather, speaking to  
>germans, listening to their speeches, reading thier papers, journals and  
>literature are the means for determining the thought of the Germans, which  
>will then determine whether they adopt an ideology.  
>

>And this has nothing to do with their success in the international arena.

>  
>Wa Salam

>  
>Yahya

>  
>  
>>From: ansaar ansaar <[ansaar99@yahoo.com](mailto:ansaar99@yahoo.com)>

>>Reply-To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)

>>To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)

>>Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy

>>Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:00:22 -0800 (PST)

>>  
>> Many nations possess the capability to be ideological  
>>nations, however whether they are in this matter of  
>>time is the question.

>>As Aamir mentioned, US before it came out of  
>>isolation, may have been considered non ideological,  
>>as they didnt carry the ideology in their foreign  
>>affairs. (although im not sure we can limit being  
>>ideological to just foriegn affairs).

>>  
>>Germany may have many ideas and thoughts by the fact  
>>that historically they have been part of the  
>>development of political thought in Europe, but they  
>>today do not carry the ideology. However it may be  
>>changing, since the Germans are now suggesting a more  
>>active role in the EU, a rethink of its position with  
>>arming itself, and being involved in external  
>>conflicts.

>>  
>>When this does happen, then we could call Germany an  
>>ideological nation. It doesnt take much for the  
>>Germans to revive.

>>  
>>I agree with Yamin on Japan. The original Japanese  
>>empire was not built on an ideological principles, and  
>>unlike the germans they have not been involved  
>>historically in the development of political thought.  
>>

>>  
>>  
>>--- Yamin Zakaria <[yaminz@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:yaminz@yahoo.co.uk)>; wrote:  
>> > Salam All,  
>> >  
>> > The evidence for Japan is clear from its history. it  
>> > has never been a capitalist nation prior to WWII. A  
>> > stucnh nationalistic state with a fudal structre.  
>> > After the war, it only adopted the economic aspect  
>> > of  
>> > capitalism.  
>> >  
>> > There are very few nations if any outside Europe/US  
>> > have adopted capitalism as an ideology. This is  
>> > partly  
>> > because capitalism has evolved as result of set of  
>> > historical events connected to a particular society  
>> > and its culture (namely christanity and w. europe),  
>> > hence only those nations that participated in this  
>> > process call for it outright with conviction. These  
>> > nations identify capitalism as part of its history  
>> > and  
>> > culture, they feel they were the inheritors of this  
>> > ideology.  
>> >  
>> > Yamin Zakaria  
>> >  
>> >  
>> > --- Abu Hakim <[abuhakim1@hotmail.com](mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com)>; wrote: > W.R.T  
>> > Germany - I think they do produce solutions  
>> > from the basis - I think  
>> > Germans have been quite creative in the way deal  
>> > with the issue of Europe -  
>> > It simply does not imitate France on issues it  
>> > thinks for itself.  
>> >  
>> > I would like to ask a question regarding Japan.  
>> > What is the evidence that the Japanese society  
>> > does  
>> > not hold on to the Ideas  
>> > of Freedom, Democracy etc.? and just implement  
>> > solutions. -  
>> > Thanks  
>> >  
>> >  
>> > > Salam All  
>> > >  
>> > > I partially agree with you. Japan yes, its not  
>> > > capitalist from its root. Where as Germany I  
>> > think  
>> > is  
>> > > much closer to being a capitalist nation from  
>> > its  
>> > > root. Aftre all the development of the ideology  
>> > > was in  
>> > > western Europe and Germans made substantial  
>> > > contribution towards it. This it adopts  
>> > > capitalism  
>> > > with more conviction and vigour then say the  
>> > > Japanese.  
>> > >  
>> > >  
>> > > Yamin Zakaria  
>> > >  
>> > >  
>> > >  
>> > > --- ansaar ansaar <[ansaar99@yahoo.com](mailto:ansaar99@yahoo.com)>; wrote: >  
>> > > Salaams  
>> > >>

>>>> There are many 'capitalist' countries, i.e.  
>>>> nations  
>>>> who are following the capitalist model, but few  
>>>> are  
>>>> ideological in their nature. Eg. pakistan and  
>>>> india  
>>>> are capitalist. They implement the solutions of  
>>>> capitalism, but they dont control its thought,  
>>> or  
>>>> add  
>>>> to its thought. Whereas, britain, france and US  
>>>> are  
>>>> capitalist in their nature, they dont simply  
>>>> implement  
>>>> the solutions, but hold the thought that  
>>>> generates  
>>>> the  
>>>> solutions.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> In this respect i dont believe that Germany or  
>>>>>> Japan  
>>>>>> hold the thoughts to the ideology. Just as an  
>>>>>> indicator of a nation which is ideological by  
>>>>>> nature,  
>>>>>> it has many think tanks, and policy forums  
>>> which  
>>>>>> come  
>>>>>> up with the original solutions to new problems.  
>>> I  
>>>>>> dont  
>>>>>> believe there are independent think tanks,  
>>>> espousing  
>>>>>> views and policies shaped by the capitalist  
>>>> thought  
>>>>>> in  
>>>>>> either of these countries. They rather have  
>>> many  
>>>>>> forums to discuss ideas already being floated  
>>> by  
>>>>>> other  
>>>>>> capitalist nations.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> --- Nasser - Focustech <nasser@focustech.co.uk>;  
>>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>>>> Salaam,  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> with regards to Point 2. From our  
>>>> understanding  
>>>>>>>> that  
>>>>>>>> an ideology shows it  
>>>>>>>> face through 3 principle mechanisms, as  
>>> follows:  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> Propagate  
>>>>>>>> Implement  
>>>>>>>> Protect  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> how do these 3 princples fit in with the  
>>>>> countries  
>>>>>>>> mentioned in Point 2.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> Thus any state must be based upon this for it  
>>>>> to  
>>>>>>>> be  
>>>>>>>> classified as an  
>>>>>>>> ideolgical state.  
>>>>>>>>

>> > >>> Salaam  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>> Nasser  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>> -----Original Message-----  
>> > >>> From: Abu Hakim [mailto:[abuhakim1@hotmail.com](mailto:abuhakim1@hotmail.com)]  
>> > >>> Sent: 24 January 2001 14:06  
>> > >>> To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
>> > >>> Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>> Couple points:  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>> 1- It is not a condition that a nation needs  
>> > to  
>> > >>> adopt an ideology to be  
>> > >>> powerful in the world - E.g. Romans, Persian  
>> > and  
>> > >>> Nazi Germany etc. India has  
>> > >>> the potential to be powerful on the basis of  
>> > >>> nationalism. We need to use the  
>> > >>> term revival carefully - It means that nations  
>> > >>> will  
>> > >>> able to generate  
>> > >>> solution to problems in all aspects of life  
>> > >>> according to a specific thought  
>> > >>> and method. Of course the Islamic Ideology is  
>> > the  
>> > >>> correct one. We should  
>> > >>> not confuse revival with progress. Yes, a  
>> > nation  
>> > >>> can  
>> > >>> progress on many fronts  
>> > >>> without a ideology i.e be good at something.  
>> > But  
>> > >>> nahdah occurs on the bases  
>> > >>> of a comprehensive creed and naturally as a  
>> > >>> result  
>> > >>> of adopting a creed the  
>> > >>> society will progress. - Therefore India is  
>> > not  
>> > >>> revived according to our  
>> > >>> definition.  
>> > >>>  
>> > >>> 2 - I am not convinced that German and Japan  
>> > are  
>> > >>> not  
>> > >>> truly ideological - The  
>> > >>> situation of German and Japan is that they do  
>> > >>> not  
>> > >>> seek world leadership like  
>> > >>> the US and UK. Their reality is similar to  
>> > other  
>> > >>> European nations like Spain  
>> > >>> - based on a Ideology. The key to have  
>> > >>> influence  
>> > >>> in the world is that a  
>> > >>> nation must have the desire to lead - The best  
>> > >>> type  
>> > >>> of leadership is the  
>> > >>> Ideological one - Other type of leadership  
>> > will  
>> > >>> be  
>> > >>> based on force and not  
>> > >>> concepts. The Germans and the Japanese have  
>> >  
>>==== message truncated ===  
>>  
>>



--- Maajid as-Saleemi <[maajidnawaz@hotmail.com](mailto:maajidnawaz@hotmail.com)>; wrote:

> It was about this basis that I tried to argue that  
> the economic success  
> without a political creed being adopted in India is  
> a "paper success", as by  
> definition it implies adopting certain economic  
> policies without attempting  
> to unify the nation upon the basis of these  
> policies. This will not remove  
> the various competing factions, and thus by  
> definition will not create a  
> consensus, and thus these countries' competing  
> factions will still be used by  
> external powers against one another. The nature of  
> their bond, whether it  
> be Patriotic, nationalistic, spiritual, or Benefit,  
> is temporary and will  
> not halt the conflict in that society, rather it  
> will exacerbate the  
> conflict and make it easier for the Ideological  
> nations to use that conflict  
> to steer in their client. Thus it can be said that  
> any apparent success is  
> due to the support of an Ideological nation, for  
> political reasons, and for  
> as long as that Ideological nation wants that nation  
> to progress. Only an  
> Ideological bond will bring about a permanent  
> progression, as it will bring  
> an end to the instability and the factions that get  
> used, and will not  
> tolerate intervention from an external power, also it  
> will bring progress in  
> all fields. Without this, the progress is temporary  
> and pioneered for  
> political reasons.  
>  
> As for Germany's people, they are bonded upon the  
> Secular Thought and  
> Method. So are Swedens etc... thus regardless of  
> their relative weaker  
> position on the world scene, they have revival.  
> They are not merely bonded  
> upon Patriotism or Nationalism or benefit or  
> Spiritual bond, so what is their  
> bond? It can only be the Secular thought and  
> method. Thus, they live by  
> their Ideology in all aspects of their life.  
>  
> I too agree that the U.S. was never in complete  
> isolation, rather it was  
> focusing on making its "back door" namely, the  
> South American continent.  
> And it succeeded in doing that to a very devastating  
> level. Then it timed  
> its emergence into Europe.  
>  
> Wassalaamu alaykum  
>  
> abu Ammaar  
>  
>  
> >From: "yahya abduallah" <[yahya95@hotmail.com](mailto:yahya95@hotmail.com)>;  
> >Reply-To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
> >To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
> >Subject: Some points about judging revival from  
> political events

> >Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:28:18  
> >  
> >As Salamu alaikum,  
> >  
> >There is a misconception here that progress and  
> revival are the same. As  
> >has  
> >been stated earlier, revival is dependent upon  
> adopting an ideology.  
> >Furthermore, simply because a state is not  
> successful (progressing) in a  
> >particular field does not imply that they are no  
> longer revived or  
> >ideological. Belgium, Holland, Spain, Portugal are  
> all revived capitalist  
> >nations which are only a fraction of their former  
> selves in regard to  
> >foreign affairs. Some have weak internal economies  
> etc. etc. but they still  
> >refer to the ideology that they have adopted and  
> that is capitalism.  
> >We cannot judge the thought of a people by the  
> results of their policies  
> >but  
> >only by the thought itself. The international  
> political and economic  
> >situation today is very aggressive and involves  
> many plans to prop-up or  
> >destroy others' economies, support, strength etc.  
> So what looks like  
> >progress could be simply a temporary trend  
> resulting from a more powerful  
> >nation's policy. Hence the Eastern Tiger economies  
> grew while they were  
> >allowed to grow. France, Belgium, Portugal etc.s  
> colonial power has been  
> >diminished by US and UK plans. There are many  
> examples of states working  
> >against each other. So every success in the  
> economy, or military does not  
> >indicate revival, nor even is it necessarily a  
> progress resulting from a  
> >comprehensive thought, as it could be supported by  
> another power. So each  
> >progression or failure needs to be looked into  
> accurately with its own  
> >details and circumstances.  
> >The only thing that can determine the thought of a  
> nation is the study of  
> >the thought itself, and this is our concern when  
> evaluating the revival or  
> >otherwise of a nation. For instance, if we defeat  
> the US in a war or over  
> >its foreign policy interests (as happens often  
> today) does then the US  
> >automatically become a declined nation? No,  
> absolutely not, as their  
> >success  
> >or failure has nothing to do with revival.  
> >Simply, if they adopt an ideology, then they are  
> likely to progress in  
> >their  
> >systems of life, but this progression is not  
> guaranteed due to many other  
> >factors related to competitors. Hence, they can  
> still be a nation  
> >experiencing a revival but with many set-backs.  
> >Finally, Americas isolation was not a submission to  
> others in terms of  
> >foreign policy, it was simply a focus on the

> Americas and not the rest of  
> >the world. Germany is very much involved in  
> securing its interests in the  
> >world, yet it does not meddle in every peace  
> process in the world, but then  
> >it doesn't have to. Germany has enormous pressures  
> from US who has made  
> >huge  
> >investments in its economy. Also, Germany favours  
> going along with others  
> >in  
> >its foreign affairs rather than striding out on its  
> own. But this is due to  
> >its enormous weakness resulting from a  
> non-military based economy. None of  
> >this indicates the absence of an ideology from the  
> thought of the people,  
> >as  
> >these are not considered when evaluating the  
> thought. Rather, speaking to  
> >germans, listening to their speeches, reading thier  
> papers, journals and  
> >literature are the means for determining the  
> thought of the Germans, which  
> >will then determine whether they adopt an ideology.  
> >  
> >And this has nothing to do with their success in  
> the international arena.  
> >  
> >Wa Salam  
> >  
> >Yahya  
> >  
> >  
> >>From: ansaar ansaar <[ansaar99@yahoo.com](mailto:ansaar99@yahoo.com)>;  
> >>Reply-To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
> >>To: [patf@smartgroups.com](mailto:patf@smartgroups.com)  
> >>Subject: Re: [PATF] re: India and her economy  
> >>Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:00:22 -0800 (PST)  
> >>  
> >> Many nations possess the capability to be  
> ideological  
> >>nations, however whether they are in this matter  
> of  
> >>time is the question.  
> >>As Aamir mentioned, US before it came out of  
> >>isolation, may have been considered non  
> ideological,  
> >>as they didnt carry the ideology in their foreign  
> >>affairs. (although im not sure we can limit being  
> >>ideological to just foriegn affairs).  
> >>  
> >>Germany may have many ideas and thoughts by the  
> fact  
> >>that historically they have been part of the  
> >>development of political thought in Europe, but  
> they  
> >>today do not carry the ideology. However it may be  
> >>changing, since the Germans are now suggesting a  
> more  
> >>active role in the EU, a rethink of its position  
> with  
> >>arming itself, and being involved in external  
> >>conflicts.  
> >>  
> >  
> >  
=== message truncated ===

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>

or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

[P](#) [Prev](#) [Next](#) [N](#)

Powered by Freeserve

[Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Find Group](#) | [Create Group](#) | [Feedback](#) | [Terms](#) | [About](#)